APPENDIX 05 Material Assets – Traffic & Transportation



Appendix 5-1 – Traffic & Transport Assessment – MHL & Associates

TRAFFIC & TRANSPORT ASSESSMENT

Cloghroe Development Cloghroe Cork December 2021

CONTENTS

1.0	0										
1.0	INTRODUCTION 2										
2.0	NON-TECHNICAL SUMMARY										
3.0	EXISTING CONDITIONS										
4.0	PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT18										
5.0	TRAFFIC GENERATION21										
6.0	MODAL SPLIT23										
7.0	TRAFFIC GENERATION / FORECASTING										
8.0	TRIP ATTRACTION AND DISTRIBUTION										
9.0	NETWORK MODELLING RESULTS										
10.0	CUMULATIVE IMPACT										
11.0	ROAD SAFETY										
12.0	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT										
13.0	INTERNAL LAYOUT & PARKING PROVISION										
14.0	PUBLIC TRANSPORT										
15.0	ACCESSIBILITY AND INTEGRATION										
16.0	ACCESS FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES										
17.0	MOBILITY MANAGEMENT PLAN (SUSTAINABLE ACCESS STRATEGY)										
18.0	REFERENCES40										
APPE	NDIX A: TRAFFIC MODEL OUTPUTS – PICADY41										
APPE	APPENDIX B: TRAFFIC MODEL OUTPUTS – LINSIG										
APPE	NDIX C: TRICS43										





INTRODUCTION 1.0

INTRODUCTION 1.1

- MHL Consulting Engineers has been instructed by Cloghroe Development Ltd. to prepare a 1.1.1 Traffic & Transport Assessment (TTA) in support of a planning application for the proposed strategic housing development [SHD] on lands at Cloghroe, Tower, Cork.
- 1.1.2 The proposed SHD consists of the construction of a mixed-use residential and retail development and all ancillary site development works, including the demolition of 2 no. existing agricultural structures at Coolflugh, Cloghroe, Tower, Cork. The proposed residential development comprises the construction of 198 no. residential units, two storey creche, two storey café building and single storey retail food store. The proposed development provides for 117 no. dwelling houses consisting of 5 no. 4 bedroom detached houses, 44 no. 4 bedroom semi-detached houses, 8 no. 4 bedroom townhouses, 14 no. 3 bedroom semidetached houses, 24 no. 3 bedroom townhouses and 22 no. 2 bedroom townhouses. The proposed development includes 81 no. apartment/duplex units consisting of 2 no. 3 bedroom, 35 no. 2 bedroom and 44 no. 1 bedroom units. 79 no. of the proposed apartment/duplex units will be provided in 6 no. 3 storey apartment buildings with ancillary communal areas and bicycle parking facilities. 2 no. apartment units will be provided at first floor level of a proposed café building to the south of the site.

The proposed retail development consists of a single storey retail food store with a net sales area of 1,315 m2 (which includes the sale of alcohol for consumption off premises) with ancillary signage, surface car park, servicing areas and bicycle parking facilities. The proposed development includes a proposed two storey café building with café on ground floor and 2 no. apartments at first floor level.

Access to the proposed development will be via 2 no. entrances from the R617, one which will serve the proposed residential development and one to serve the proposed retail development. A separate pedestrian entrance is to be provided from the existing cul-de-sac to the north-east of the site. The proposed development makes provision for the upgrade of the R617, including the installation of footpath/cycle infrastructure, signalised pedestrian crossing and the relocation of the existing public bus stop to the west of the R617. Ancillary site development works include flood defence works, public realm upgrades, amenity walks, public open spaces and an urban plaza to the east of the proposed retail unit.

- 1.1.3 This TTA appraises the manner in which the proposed development will impact the surrounding roads network and considers appropriate access arrangements and the transport choices available to future users of the development site and the manner in which the existing/proposed transport infrastructure surrounding the site will influence that choice. The impact of traffic demand generated by the proposals will be considered and quantified.
- The scope of this study has been agreed with Cork City Council's Traffic & Transportation 1.1.4 Department. Technical Notes have been produced to confirm the key parameters relating to the traffic modelling carried out including, junctions to be assessed, trip generation, modal shift targets, trip distribution, assessment years and the presentation of results.
- The key junctions in the area surrounding the proposed development are shown in **Figure 1.1** 1.1.5 and are as follows:
 - Junction 1: The junction of the R617/R579.
 - Junction 2. Proposed Residential Development access
 - Junction 3: Proposed Retail Development Access





Figure 1.1: Junction Locations

1.2 CONSULTATION

- 1.2.1 to consider the respective issues raised as part of the design process of the scheme.
- 1.2.2 These engagements have informed the final layout of the scheme including access arrangements for vehicular, pedestrian and cycle modes of transport.

DOCUMENT STRUCTURE 1.3

1.3.1 with TII (Transport Infrastructure Ireland) Document, Traffic and Transport Assessment Guidelines, 2014.

The aim of this TTA is to identify the characteristics of the application site and surrounding area, examine the likely transport implications, ensure sustainable accessibility is maximised and appropriate infrastructure provided.



Cloghroe Development, Cloghroe, Cork

The Design Team has engaged with various departments within Cork City Council with a view

A TTA is an appropriate form of assessment for the scale of the proposed development and the scope has been agreed with the local authority. The structure of this TTA is in accordance The key issues that need to be addressed within this TTA, with reference to the size and location of the development proposal, are as follows:

- Review of the site location, composition and local roads network.
- Analysis of Road Safety data for the most recent five-year period available.
- Accessibility critique reviewing pedestrian, cycle and public transport access to the \triangleright site, plus any infrastructure currently available to promote travel by sustainable means.
- A review of the relevant planning and transport policy. \geq
- Description of the development proposal.
- Description and justification for the proposed access arrangement, internal layout, parking provision, public transport provision, fire tender/service/delivery access, including all necessary swept-path assessments and visibility splays.
- Forecast multi-modal trip rates and trip generation as agreed with the Local Authority. \geq
- Modal split assumptions used in the trip generation process.
- \triangleright The use of appropriate and agreed traffic modelling software for the assessment of individual junctions.
- Provide With/Without Development assessment for each of the critical junctions.
- Assess significance of development generated traffic upon the surrounding transport infrastructure and identify any necessary mitigation.

2.0 NON-TECHNICAL SUMMARY

- 2.1 two storey café building and single storey retail food store.
- 2.2 The TTA has demonstrated the following:
 - (i) in the delivery of planned growth in the area.
 - (ii) indicates that there are no significant impacts on road safety.
 - (iii)

The findings of the traffic modelling were discussed with Cork City Council Traffic & Transportation Department, and it was agreed that the operation of the junction in future years will be monitored to determine if and when remedial works become necessary. Future upgrade works to be delivered as part of Bus Connects may positively impact on this junction's capacity.

- (iv) including the design year 2039.
- (v) including the design year 2039
- (vi) amenity destinations.
- (vii) Manual for Urban Roads & Streets (DMURS).
- encourage the use of sustainable transport modes.
- A modal shift of 20% (implying an anticipated increase in public transport usage or active 24 modal shift increase, of 15% has been applied to proposed development traffic from the
- 2.5 footpath and a reservation of 3.25m for a future Bus Lane as part of Bus Connects. The



This TTA has been prepared in support of an application to An Bord Pleanála for permission in respect of the proposed Cloghroe SHD, comprising 198 no. residential units, two storey creche,

> The proposed Cloghroe SHD is in accordance with the traffic and transportation policies and objectives of the Local Area Plan and forms an important continuation

A review of the existing roads network and collision data in the vicinity of the site

Junction 1: R617/R579 is shown to currently operate within capacity during morning & evening peaks with some delay occurring. The modelling results for future years show the junction reaching capacity in the design year 2024 and degrading, both with and without development traffic, up to design year 2039. There are a number of remedial measures that can be implemented such as the addition of right turn lanes on the various approaches and ultimately the signalisation of the junction. An assessment of the signalisation of this junction shows that it can operate within capacity up to and including the design year 2039.

Junction 2: Retail Access onto the R617 will operate within capacity up to and

Junction 3: Residential Access onto the R617 will operate within capacity up to and

The proposed site layout is permeable to the roads network and is well connected to existing pedestrian linkages, to public transport offerings, schools, retail and

The proposed new access arrangements are safe and suitable and are in accordance with the Design Manual for Roads & Bridges (DMRB) and the Design

(viii) The site benefits from being in close proximity to regular transport provision, within walking distance of the site, which enables journeys throughout Cork City. Car parking provision within the site is at the lower end of the scale in order to

travel in the immediate area of 15%) for future year models is deemed to be reasonable. This opening year (when the development is fully completed) 2024, up to the design year 2039. This same modal shift increase, of 15% has not been applied to the background traffic of the modelled junctions, ensuring that a conservative (worst-case) analysis has been carried out.

Following detailed discussions with Cork City Council Traffic & Transportation Department the boundary treatment on the R617 was agreed. As part of the development of the scheme the R617 will be upgraded to include a 2.0m cycle track, a 1.0m planted verge, a 2.0m pedestrian

following cross section details the proposed cross section. In the interim the bus reservation area will be grassed as an inner verge.



Figure 2.1: Proposed Cross Section on the R617

In line with the proposed upgrade works on the R671, the existing 215 Bus Stop is to be 2.6 upgraded with the provision of a Bus Shelter and a colour contrasted paved stop area. The developed scheme will provide universal footpath access to the bus stop as well as more direct stepped access. The provision of the controlled pedestrian crossing to the north of the bus stop will facilitate safe and controlled access for existing residents in the area.



Figure 2.2: Proposed Upgraded Bus Stop with Shelter on the R617

3.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS

3.1 INTRODUCTION

3.1.1 other surrounding proposed development.

BASELINE TRAFFIC CONDITIONS 3.2

- A variety of different data sources have been used, including: 3.2.1
 - > 12-hour classified turning counts (3 sites, refer **Figure 3.1** below);
 - Background OS Mapping and aerial photography:
 - > On-site junction measurements including saturation flows, link speeds, queue length of the modelled junctions;
- 3.2.2 A total of 3 no. turning count surveys were undertaken as part of the study on Thursday 6th May video cameras at each of the junctions for a 12-hour period.
- 3.2.3 On-site measurements including lane widths, junction turning radii, lane lengths and saturation flows were undertaken by MHL and were incorporated in the constructed models.



Figure 3.1: Traffic Count Survey Locations

3.2.4 The following figures present the recorded 12-hour traffic profile, percentage of classified 5th of May 2021:

6



This section describes the base data used to develop the junction models, the critical links and junctions as agreed with the Local Authority, committed transport proposals to the area and

measurements, pedestrian movements at signalled crossings and geometric data for each

2021, as outlined in the following figure; these surveys were carried out simultaneously using

vehicles and turning movements for each of the modelled junctions carried out on Thursday

Cloghroe Development Ltd.



Figure 3.2: Junction 1: R617/R579.



Figure 3.3: Junction 2: Senandale Junction



Figure 3.4: Junction 3: Woodlands Junction

The data presented in the above figures shows the peak hour traffic periods for both morning 3.2.5 and evening respectively at each junction as follows:

Cloghroe Development Ltd.

MED

Cloghroe Development, Cloghroe, Cork

- Junction 1: 08:00 09:00 and 17:00 18:00
- - Junction 3: 08:00 09:00 and 17:00 18:00

For the purpose of the modelling analysis, each of the above peak hour traffic periods are included in order to obtain the worst-case traffic build-up results. This ensures a robust analysis of the road network is conducted.

- 3.2.6 The percentage of classified vehicles was used within the generated traffic models to accurately reflect existing conditions.
- 3.2.7 The following graph, produced by Transport Infrastructure Ireland (TII), compares 2019, 2020 and 2021 traffic patterns in Cork for the purpose of deriving a Covid Factor. In this instance when May 2021 is compared to May 2019 a reduction of 12.8% is seen. Traffic counts carried out in May 2021 as part of this assessment will be increased by this factor to represent 'normal' flows. It should be noted that following Covid there is an expectation that 'normal' travel behaviour will change hence the use of this factor will produce conservative results until the new norm is found.





Figure 3.5: TII, Comparison of Traffic Patterns, Cork.

3.2.8 As a result of the time lapse between the original traffic survey, May 2021, and the date of out 12-hour (07:00-19:00) manual classified junction turning counts on the 30th November 2021. The following graph presents a comparison between the recorded turning count the two dates are negligible.



Junction 2: 08:00 - 09:00 and 17:00 - 18:00

lodging the full application to An Bord Pleanala, it was deemed appropriate to procure more up to date traffic counts for the main R617/R579 junction. Traffinomics Ltd were engaged to carry movements during the peak periods for May 2021 and November 2021. The results between

Cloghroe Development, Cloghroe, Cork

MED



Figure 3.6: Comparison of Traffic Count Data May 2021/Nov 2021

The traffic modelling carried out as part of this assessment is based on the May 2021 figures, 3.2.9 increased by the 'Covid Factor' of 12.8%. This is considered to provide a robust assessment of the identified critical junctions as travel patterns after Covid may not return to pre-Covid levels.

Cloghroe Development Ltd.

SITE LOCATION AND COMPOSITION 3.3

3.3.1 with no existing footpath provision serving the development lands.



Figure 3.5: Existing Site Boundary

LOCAL ROADS NETWORK 3.4

Junction 1: R617/R579 Cloghroe Junction 3.4.1

> This junction serves as an important vehicular access between north/west Cork and the greater Cork City urban area including its use as a link to the N20 Cork/Limerick Road. The measured two-way AADT (Annual Average Daily Traffic) on the R579 is 7,500.



The application site is located on the R617 Blarney Road in the village of Cloghroe within a 50kph speed limit zone. The site is bounded by the residential estate of Senandale to the south



Image 3.4.1: Image of R617/R579 Priority Junction



Fig 3.4.1: R617/R579 – AM Peak Hour Flows



Fig 3.4.2: R617/R579 – PM Peak Hour Flows

3.4.2 Junction 2: Access to Senandale Residential Development This Priority Junction serves a 22-unit development accessing directly onto the R617 Tower Road.



Image 3.4.2: Image of Junction 2: R617/Senandale Access Junction.





Fig 3.4.3: R617 Senandale Junction – AM Peak Hour Flows



Fig 3.4.4: R617 Senandale Junction - PM Peak Hour Flows

Cloghroe Development, Cloghroe, Cork

3.4.3 Junction 3: Priority Controlled Junction on the R617 serving the Woodlands Residential Development.

This priority-controlled junction serves a 15-unit residential development as well as providing a secondary access to a retail offering which includes Cloghroe Stores, Post Office, Pharmacy and hairdresser.



Image 3.4.3: R617 Woodlands Junction





Fig 3.4.5: R617 Woodlands Junction. – AM Peak Hour Flows



Fig 3.4.6: R617 Woodlands Junction. - PM Peak Hour Flows

Cloghroe Development Ltd.

3.5 **COMMITTED TRANSPORT PROPOSALS**

3.5.1 major upgrades to public transport provision to serve the City Public Transport Network. outlines the 9 measures proposed to achieve this aim.

As part of this assessment, allowance was made for a modal shift of 20% (current sustainable travel usage in the area as per 2016 census was 5%) for development traffic only, in the Base Year 2022. This represents a 15% increase in modal shift over current levels and has been applied to 'new development traffic' only. The use of an increase modal shift for development traffic is justified based on current demographics in the Cloghroe Area (older population) and the type of current residential provision which includes detached/semi-detached units with little or no apartments. The proposed development will result in an increase in density with a younger demographic anticipated.

The resulting reduction in traffic generation from the site has not been applied to background traffic flows, refer to Chapter 6.0 of this report for further details.



Fig 3.5.1: BusConnects Cork – Measures proposed to increase public transport usage.



The publication of the CMATS (Cork Metropolitan Area Transport Study) document proposes These measures will contribute to an expected increase in modal shift towards sustainable travel resulting in a reduction in traffic generation from residential developments. Figure 3.5.1

NFL

4.0 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

INTRODUCTION 4.1

- 4.1.1 The proposed Cloghroe SHD is consistent with the zoning in the local area plan for medium density housing with a creche and a retail provision.
- 4.1.2 The proposed development provides for 117 no. dwelling houses consisting of 5 no. 4 bedroom detached houses, 44 no. 4 bedroom semi-detached houses, 8 no. 4 bedroom townhouses, 14 no. 3 bedroom semi-detached houses, 24 no. 3 bedroom townhouses and 22 no. 2 bedroom townhouses. The proposed development includes 81 no. apartment/duplex units consisting of 2 no. 3 bedroom, 35 no. 2 bedroom and 44 no. 1 bedroom units. 79 no. of the proposed apartment/duplex units will be provided in 6 no. 3 storey apartment buildings with ancillary communal areas and bicycle parking facilities. 2 no. apartment units will be provided at first floor level of a proposed café building to the south of the site.

The proposed retail development consists of a single storey retail food store with a net sales area of 1,315 m2 (which includes the sale of alcohol for consumption off premises) with ancillary signage, surface car park, servicing areas and bicycle parking facilities. The proposed development includes a proposed two storey café building with café on ground floor and 2 no. apartments at first floor level.

- 4.1.3 The proposed primary access to the site is from the R617 Tower/Blarney Road.
- The proposed development includes pedestrian access to upgraded public realm footpaths 4.1.4 and a controlled pedestrian crossing on the R617.
- 4.1.5 The scheme proposes residential parking at 1.5 spaces per unit and 101 car spaces for the Mixed-Use Units ~ (retail elements).
- 4.1.6 For full details of the scheme please refer to the planning application documentation.



Fig 4.1.1: Site Entrance Details



PHASING 4.2

4.2.1 The proposed SHD will be completed in two phases, with indicative commencement date in opening year +5 (2029) and the design year +15 (2039).





2022 and finishing by 2024. The retail elements of the scheme will also be delivered in this timeframe. The Traffic Impact Assessment includes the proposed opening year of 2024, the

Cloghroe Development, Cloghroe, Cork

4.3 **CONSTRUCTION STAGE TRAFFIC IMPACT**

The construction stage of the proposed development will be phased as described above in 4.3.1 section 4.2.2.

It is envisaged that working hours will be from 07.00 to 18:00, Monday to Friday (08:00 to 14:00 Saturday) for construction personnel through each phase of the development. Generally, construction workers will travel to site before the measured peak hour of 08:00 -09:00, to be on site for an 07:00 start-time. A very limited number of construction employees are likely to travel to the site during peak hours.

It is anticipated that heavy goods vehicles, HGV's, will be restricted to movements on the local road network during the off-peak periods. It is estimated that truck movements and general deliveries would arrive/leave at a steady rate during the course of the day.

In general, the impact of construction traffic will be temporary in nature and less significant than the final development operational stage.

The construction stage elements of the Traffic Management Plan submitted with this 4.3.4 application, including identified haulage routes, will be implemented.

The surrounding road network is suitable to accommodate the construction traffic associated with the proposed development and the Traffic Management Plan includes a range of mitigating measures to ensure the safety of the workforce on the site and accessing the site, and the public on the surrounding roads and to minimise construction traffic generation and disruption on the surrounding road network.

5.0 TRAFFIC GENERATION

- 5.1.1 Trip generation from the proposed development was garnered via the TRICS database. MHL utilised for the land-use sub-category associated with the development proposal. The "Guidelines for Traffic and Transportation Assessments" state that for residential use the local road network for the purposes of this assessment.
- 5.1.2 Sites from Greater Dublin Area, Galway, Louth, Waterford, Antrim and Monaghan were included from the TRICS database to determine the trip rates as shown in Table 5.1 below.

		ARRIVALS		[DEPARTURES	;	TOTALS		
	No.	Ave.	Trip	No.	Ave.	Trip	No.	Ave.	Trip
Time Range	Days	DWELLS	Rate	Days	DWELLS	Rate	Days	DWELLS	Rate
00:00 - 01:00									
01:00 - 02:00									
02:00 - 03:00									
03:00 - 04:00									
04:00 - 05:00									
05:00 - 06:00									
06:00 - 07:00									
07:00 - 08:00	19	61	0.050	19	61	0.182	19	61	0.232
08:00 - 09:00	19	61	0.170	19	61	0.532	19	61	0.702
09:00 - 10:00	19	61	0.239	19	61	0.278	19	61	0.517
10:00 - 11:00	19	61	0.173	19	61	0.200	19	61	0.373
11:00 - 12:00	19	61	0.179	19	61	0.223	19	61	0.402
12:00 - 13:00	19	61	0.259	19	61	0.260	19	61	0.519
13:00 - 14:00	19	61	0.285	19	61	0.276	19	61	0.561
14:00 - 15:00	19	61	0.318	19	61	0.318	19	61	0.636
15:00 - 16:00	19	61	0.361	19	61	0.280	19	61	0.641
16:00 - 17:00	19	61	0.341	19	61	0.235	19	61	0.576
17:00 - 18:00	19	61	0.454	19	61	0.276	19	61	0.730
18:00 - 19:00	19	61	0.361	19	61	0.284	19	61	0.645
19:00 - 20:00									
20:00 - 21:00									
21:00 - 22:00									
22:00 - 23:00									
23:00 - 24:00									
Total Rates:			3.190			3.344			6.534

Table 5.1 Trip Generation Per Residential Unit (TRICS)

- to work, school or college and is based on 2016 Census Data. The site is located in the Electoral Division of 'Matehy', ref. Table 6.1, with 2016-year figures implying just 5% of persons in the area use sustainable means of travel.
- 5.1.4 Trip Generation from the proposed 42 pupil creche was derived using the TRICS database. The following table presents the peak hour trip rates for a standalone creche.

In this instance, it is assumed that the creche will serve both the proposed scheme and the wider area. It is anticipated that the creche will add to traffic entering and exiting the development during the morning/evening peak hours over and above 'pass-by' traffic (traffic already accounted for on the network.

is a licence holder for the TRICS database and employ it for traffic studies. TRICS is a wellestablished UK and Irish national database which holds in excess of 2,100 site locations and 7,000 survey counts with over 98 separate land use sub-categories. The TRICS program was busiest hours are between 08:00-09:00 and 17:00-18:00. Traffic counts conducted on the 5th May 2021 by Tracsis were utilised to establish the actual AM & PM Peak traffic hours for the

5.1.3 In the following chapter reference is made to the current (2016) Modal Shift by means of travel

		ARRIVALS				DEPARTURES			TOTALS			
	No.	Ave.	Trip	Estimated	No.	Ave.	Trip	Estimated	No.	Ave.	Trip	Estimated
Time Range	Days	PUPILS	Rate	Trip Rate	Days	PUPILS	Rate	Trip Rate	Days	PUPILS	Rate	Trip Rate
00:00 - 01:00												
01:00 - 02:00												
02:00 - 03:00												
03:00 - 04:00												
04:00 - 05:00												
05:00 - 06:00												
06:00 - 07:00												
07:00 - 08:00	2	79	0.032	0.000	2	79	0.019	0.000	2	79	0.051	0.000
08:00 - 09:00	2	79	0.380	0.000	2	79	0.209	0.000	2	79	0.589	0.000
09:00 - 10:00	2	79	0.361	0.000	2	79	0.399	0.000	2	79	0.760	0.000
10:00 - 11:00	2	79	0.025	0.000	2	79	0.051	0.000	2	79	0.076	0.000
11:00 - 12:00	2	79	0.101	0.000	2	79	0.025	0.000	2	79	0.126	0.000
12:00 - 13:00	2	79	0.209	0.000	2	79	0.285	0.000	2	79	0.494	0.000
13:00 - 14:00	2	79	0.127	0.000	2	79	0.127	0.000	2	79	0.254	0.000
14:00 - 15:00	2	79	0.146	0.000	2	79	0.082	0.000	2	79	0.228	0.000
15:00 - 16:00	2	79	0.057	0.000	2	79	0.127	0.000	2	79	0.184	0.000
16:00 - 17:00	2	79	0.127	0.000	2	79	0.133	0.000	2	79	0.260	0.000
17:00 - 18:00	2	79	0.241	0.000	2	79	0.323	0.000	2	79	0.564	0.000
18:00 - 19:00	2	79	0.000	0.000	2	79	0.051	0.000	2	79	0.051	0.000
19:00 - 20:00												
20:00 - 21:00												
21:00 - 22:00												
22:00 - 23:00												
23:00 - 24:00												
Total Rates:			1.806	0.000			1.831	0.000			3.637	0.000

Table 5.2 Trip Generation Per Pupil – Creche (TRICS)

5.1.5 Trip Generation from the proposed 1,895sq.m Discount Retail Store was derived using the TRICS database. The following table presents the peak hour trip rates for the retail store and includes the proposed café.

		ARRIVALS			DEPARTURES	;	TOTALS		
	No.	Ave.	Trip	No.	Ave.	Trip	No.	Ave.	Trip
Time Range	Days	GFA	Rate	Days	GFA	Rate	Days	GFA	Rate
00:00 - 01:00									
01:00 - 02:00									
02:00 - 03:00									
03:00 - 04:00									
04:00 - 05:00									
05:00 - 06:00									
06:00 - 07:00									
07:00 - 08:00	4	1642	0.579	4	1642	0.183	4	1642	0.762
08:00 - 09:00	9	1619	1.660	9	1619	0.919	9	1619	2.579
09:00 - 10:00	9	1619	4.137	9	1619	2.998	9	1619	7.135
10:00 - 11:00	9	1619	4.707	9	1619	3.760	9	1619	8.467
11:00 - 12:00	9	1619	5.949	9	1619	5.619	9	1619	11.568
12:00 - 13:00	9	1619	6.189	9	1619	6.257	9	1619	12.446
13:00 - 14:00	9	1619	6.244	9	1619	6.340	9	1619	12.584
14:00 - 15:00	9	1619	6.003	9	1619	6.086	9	1619	12.089
15:00 - 16:00	9	1619	6.690	9	1619	6.765	9	1619	13.455
16:00 - 17:00	9	1619	6.765	9	1619	7.376	9	1619	14.141
17:00 - 18:00	9	1619	5.997	9	1619	6.449	9	1619	12.446
18:00 - 19:00	9	1619	4.583	9	1619	5.276	9	1619	9.859
19:00 - 20:00	9	1619	3.568	9	1619	3.863	9	1619	7.431
20:00 - 21:00	9	1619	2.346	9	1619	2.991	9	1619	5.337
21:00 - 22:00	9	1619	0.720	9	1619	1.002	9	1619	1.722
22:00 - 23:00	2	1417	0.071	2	1417	0.353	2	1417	0.424
23:00 - 24:00									
Total Rates:			66.208			66.237			132.445

Table 5.3 Trip Generation Per 100 sq.m – Discount Retail Store (TRICS)

6.0 MODAL SPLIT

- 6.1.1 This section describes the current level of modal shift (the use of sustainable modes of travel) based on available data and compares these to national targets.
- 6.1.2 The 2016 Census online SAP data was used to assess current modal shift patterns in the on foot, bike or using public transport.

PDF Excel Print											
Population aged 5 years and over by means of travel to work, school or college											
Means of Travel	Work	School or College	Total								
On foot	33	33	66								
Bicycle	5	3	8								
Bus, minibus or coach	28	53	81								
Train, DART or LUAS	2	0	2								
Motorcycle or scooter	9	1	10								
Car driver	948	75	1,023								
Car passenger	52	551	603								
Van	112	2	114								
Other (incl. lorry)	9	0	9								
Work mainly at or from home	58	0	58								
Not stated	73	25	98								
Total	1,329	743	2,072								

Table 6.1: 2016 Modal Shift by means of travel to work, school or college. (Electoral Division of Matehy)

- 6.1.3 Future national targets in the range of 45% are being pursued by all Local Authorities and the in sustainable transport is expected. A change in the local demographic to a younger population will also facilitate this change.
- 6.1.4 A modal shift of 20% (implying an anticipated increase in public transport or active travel in the background network traffic.

Matehy Area which encompasses the site. 5% of people in this area said they were commuting

Cloghroe Area is part of future public transport upgrade proposals. Given the location of the proposed development and based on the increased density of development, a limited increase

immediate area of 15%) for future year models is deemed to be reasonable. This modal shift increase of 15% will be applied to proposed development traffic from the opening year (when the development is fully completed) 2024, up to the design year 2039. It will not be applied to

7.0 **TRAFFIC GENERATION / FORECASTING**

- This section describes the traffic generation from the development as outlined in Section 5 7.1.1 and accounts for future modal shift targets as described in Section 6.
- 7.1.2 Based on the above trip generation rates the following table presents residential development traffic for future years. This traffic has been added to existing background flows and distributed through the network to model each of the identified junctions. The results are presented in Section 9 of this report.

Cloghroe Retail & Residential Scheme Discount Food Store & Cafe Trip Generatio	9 Decidential Scheme	AM	PEAK	PM PEAK					
Clognroe Retail	& Residential Scheme	AM PEAKPM PEAKArrivalsDeparturesArrivalsDeparturesGeneration - based on TRICs database (per 100sq.m)Rates1.6600.9195.9976.4493519125134351912513454259eration - based on TRICs database (per unit)Rates0.1700.5320.4540.276341059055139145atton - traffic external to new developmentc for a for a formation of a							
Discount Food St	ore & Cafe Trip Generation	- based or	n TRICs data	base (per	100sq.m)				
	Peak Trics Trip Rates Per Unit	1.660	0.919	5.997	6.449				
21	Peak Trips	35	19	125	134				
	TOTAL		54	259					
New Residential Trip Generation - based on TRICs database (per unit)									
	Peak Trics Trip Rates Per Unit	0.170	0.532	0.454	0.276				
198	Peak Trips No. Units	34	105	90	55				
	TOTAL	139		1	45				
New Cr	eche Trip Generation - traff	ic externa	l to new dev	elopment					
	Factor of creche traffic external to dev.	0.6							
	Peak Trips	5	4	4	4				
	TOTAL		9	8					
-	able 7.4 Drawsand David			22					

Table 7.1 Proposed Development Traffic in 2022

- 7.1.3 As the proposed development site currently generates no traffic, no reduction has been applied to account for pass-by trips, transfer trips or combined trips from the residential element of the scheme.
- 7.1.4 It is assumed that a portion of the Creche demand will be derived from the proposed development, however, in order to carry out a robust assessment of the roads network it is assumed that 60% of traffic that would be generated by a standalone creche will be attracted to the proposed development.
- 7.1.5 It should be noted that the traffic volumes relating to the proposed discount food store used in this assessment should not be considered as wholly new to the surrounding road network.

This is because elements of this traffic will already be on the local road network and will divert into the development site. The TRICS Research Report 14/1 - 'Pass By & Diverted Traffic A Resume' identifies that existing traffic on the road network could be Transfer Trips, Pass By Trips or Diverted Trips to a new food retail development. These different types of trips can be defined as follows:

- · Primary transferred: a trip that was previously made to another retail shopping outlet but has transferred to the new development. Although "new" to the road network around the store, these trips will have existed on the wider network previously.
- Non-primary Pass By: these are un-diverted linked trips via the retail centre that is a stop on the normal route.
- · Non-primary pass diverted:-similar to pass by but involve a diversion from the normal route that would be followed.

Current research indicates that only 30% of these trips would be new to the network during the PM peak period, as the remaining 70% of these trips exist and are already on the adjacent road network and would be passing the development site in any event. Similarly, the

However, in order to ensure that a robust assessment of Junction 1 is carried out, a Pass-By percentage of 40% is deemed appropriate (not 70%) which will be applied as a reduction to traffic generated by the retail element of the scheme as it impacts on Junction 1. The remaining 60% of the traffic generated by the retail offering will be applied as wholly new traffic and will follow the current traffic distribution on the R617.

7.1.6 In addition to development traffic, recorded background traffic was factored using TII future year scenarios. The following table presents the factors used on recorded vehicle

			Cars/LGV	HGV	Combined				
(Count %	6	98%	2%	100%				
2020	to	2024	1.069	1.123	1.070				
2020	2020 to 2029		1.163	1.298	1.166				
2020	to	2039	1.266	1.478	1.271				
TII Project Appraisal Guidelines for National Roads Unit 5.3									
Travel De	emand F	Projections	(PE-PAG-0	217-02)					

Table 7.2 Background Traffic Growth Rates Per Annum



distribution of traffic from the retail site in particular may not follow the current pattern. In this instance it would be reasonable to assume that Primary Transferred trips would likely come from Tower and Blarney thereby not affect Junction 1 during the PM Peak. No allowance for

(Transport Infrastructure Ireland) Project Appraisal Guidelines (PE-PAG-02017) for use in counts based on Link Based Growth Rates (Central Growth) for the Cork Metropolitan Area.

TRIP ATTRACTION AND DISTRIBUTION 8.0

- This section describes the methodology used in the distribution of development specific traffic 8.1.1 onto the modelled network. Figure 3.1 outlines the location of each of the junctions where turning count movements were recorded over a 12-hour timeframe. This 'snapshot' of existing traffic movements provides a basis for determining desire lines which can be used to assign development traffic at each of the modelled junctions.
- 8.1.2 Traffic flow matrices have been developed for each Junction for the following scenarios:
 - > 2024 AM/PM With/Without Dev (Full scheme)
 - > 2029 AM/PM With/Without Dev
 - > 2039 AM/PM With/Without Dev
- 8.1.3 Junction 1: R617/R579



Fig 8.1.3: Junction 1 Arm Designation



PM	Destination								
		Α	В	С		Tot			
<u>.</u>	A	0	108	232		341			
, Lig	В	252	0	219		470			
0	С	219	72	0		291			
	Total	470	180	451		1102			

Destination

249

234

483

0

116

0

77

193

Tot

365

503

312

1180

В

0

269

234

503

Table 8.1 Junction 1: 2021 Existing AM/PM Peak Hour Traffic Movements

ΡM

Origin

В

Total



Table 8.2 Junction 1: 2024 Without Development AM/PM Peak Hour Traffic Movements

АМ	Destination									
		Α	В	С		Tot				
.5	Α	0	320	283		603				
rig	В	263	0	107		370				
0	С	277	231	0		507				
	Total	539	550	391		1480				

Table 8.3 Junction 1: 2024 With Development AM/PM Peak Hour Traffic Movements

MA	Destination										
		Α	В	С		Tot					
<u> </u>	Α	0	316	280		596					
E.	В	270	0	117		387					
0	С	284	251	0		535					
	Total	553	567	397		1517					

M	Destination									
		Α	В	С		Tot				
<u> </u>	Α	0	346	306		652				
Ë	В	285	0	117		402				
0	С	300	251	0		551				
	Total	584	597	423		1604				

Table 8.5 Junction 1: 2029 With Development AM/PM Peak Hour Traffic Movements

АМ	Destination										
		Α	В	С		Tot					
.5	Α	0	344	305		649					
Lig.	В	294	0	128		421					
0	С	310	274	0		583					
	Total	603	618	433		1654					

Table 8.6 Junction 1: 2039 Without Development AM/PM Peak Hour Traffic Movements

АМ			Desti	inatio	n	
		Α	В	С		Tot
.5	А	0	374	331		705
Orig	В	309	0	128		436
	С	326	274	0		599
	Total	634	648	459		1741

Table 8.7 Junction 1: 2039 With Development AM/PM Peak Hour Traffic Movements

PM		
PNA		
	~	N/I

Destination B C Tot

		· ·	-	~	
5	А	0	138	295	433
5	В	326	0	234	560
0	С	285	77	0	363
	Total	611	215	529	1356

PM			Destir	nation	
		А	В	С	Tot
.5	Α	0	126	271	397
orig	В	293	0	255	548
	С	255	84	0	339
	Total	548	210	526	1284

Table 8.4 Junction 1: 2029 Without Development AM/PM Peak Hour Traffic Movements

PM			Desti	inatio	n	
		А	В	С		Tot
.Е	А	0	148	317		465
orig	В	350	0	255		605
	С	306	84	0		390
	Total	656	232	572		1460

PM			Destir	ation	
		А	В	С	Tot
.Е	А	0	138	295	433
Orig	В	320	0	278	598
	С	278	92	0	370
	Total	598	229	573	1400

r	n	л	

Destination

		А	В	С	Tot
.е	Α	0	160	341	501
orig	В	377	0	278	655
	С	329	92	0	421
	Total	706	251	619	1576

8.1.4 Junction 2: Proposed Retail Access onto R617



АМ			Destir	nation		PM	
		А	В	С	Tot		
.5	А	0	17	450	467	<u>=</u> .	A
rig.	В	10	0	9	19	rig	В
0	С	485	18	0	503	0	С
	Total	495	35	459	989		Total

		Dest	inatio	n	
	A	В	С		Tot
A	0	73	553		626
В	56	0	78		134
С	323	52	0		375
Total	379	125	631		1135

Table 8.8 Junction 2: 2024 With Development AM/PM Peak Hour Traffic Movements

АМ			Destir	nation	
		A	В	С	Tot
.5	A	0	17	491	508
Orig	В	10	0	9	19
	С	528	18	0	546
	Total	538	35	500	1073

M			Dest	inatio	n	
		A	В	С		Tot
5	А	0	73	603		67
Orig	В	56	0	78		134
	С	352	52	0		404
	Total	408	125	681		1214

В

56

384 52

440 125

Destination

C

0

735

0 73 657

0 78 Tot 730

> 134 436

1300

Table 8.9 Junction 2: 2029 With Development AM/PM Peak Hour Traffic Movements

АМ			PM				
		A	В	С	Tot		
.5	А	0	17	512	529	<u>.</u>	А
Orig	В	10	0	9	19	rig	В
	С	576	18	0	594	0	С
	Total	586	35	521	1142		Total

Table 8.10 Junction 2: 2039 With Development AM/PM Peak Hour Traffic Movements

8.1.5 Junction 3: Proposed Residential Access onto R617



AM	Destination							
		A	В	С		Tot		
.5	A	0	20	450		470		
- <u>10</u>	В	56	0	52		108		
0	С	485	18	0		503		
	Total	541	38	502		1081		

Table 8.11 Junction 3: 2024 With Development AM/PM Peak Hour Traffic Movements

АМ	Destination							
		A	В	С		Tot		
.5	A	0	20	491		511		
Orig	В	56	0	52		108		
	С	528	18	0		546		
	Total	584	38	543		1165		

Table 8.12 Junction 3: 2029 With Development AM/PM Peak Hour Traffic Movements

AM	Destination							
		A	В	С		Tot		
.5	Α	0	20	512		532		
ці.	В	56	0	52		108		
0	С	576	18	0		594		
	Total	632	38	564		1234		

Table 8.13 Junction 3: 2039 With Development AM/PM Peak Hour Traffic Movements



РМ	Destination							
		A	В	С		Tot		
.5	А	0	54	553		607		
Orig	В	24	0	34		58		
	С	323	39	0		362		
	Total	347	93	587		1027		



PM	Destination							
		A	В	С		Tot		
.5	А	0	54	603		657		
in in	В	24	0	34		58		
0	С	352	39	0		391		
	Total	376	93	637		1106		

PM		Destination							
		A	В	С		Tot			
.5	А	0	54	657		711			
rig I	В	24	0	34		58			
0	С	384	39	0		423			
	Total	408	93	691		1192			

NETWORK MODELLING RESULTS 9.0

INTRODUCTION 9.1

- This section presents the results of the traffic modelling of the three identified junctions with the 9.1.1 existing R617/R579 Junction presented both with/without development in place for the future year scenarios. Junctions 2 & 3 development access results are presented for both morning and evening peak periods. The complete results sheets of the generated models are provided as an appendix (Appendix A).
- 9.1.2 The Junctions 9: PICADY modelling software produces an RFC % (Ratio of Flow to Capacity), a Delay figure measured in seconds and a LOS (Level of Service) which are used to compare the effects the development will have on the junction being modelled. An RFC of 85% on a roundabout junction implies that the junction has reached capacity but is still operational with delay incurred. The following table describes the different LOS and the implications for the junction being assessed.

Level of Service A	Free-Flow
Level of Service B	Reasonably Free-Flow (no delay incurred)
Level of Service C	Stable Operation (busy but operational with acceptable delay incurred)
Level of Service D	Borderline Unstable (Junctions reaching capacity – but still operational- delay incurred)
Level of Service E	Extremely Unstable (Junctions at capacity or over, any incident will cause a grid-lock situation- significant delay incurred)
Level of Service F	Breakdown (Junctions over capacity, unacceptable delay traffic at a standstill)

Table 9.1 Level of Service

Junction 1: R617/R579 9.2

- The Picady results for the junction both with/without development are presented in Table 9.2 9.2.1 below.
- The current year (2021) results are based on 2021 traffic data factored by 12.8% and are 9.2.2 representative of how the junction currently operates during peak periods, September 2021 when the schools are back. This is borne out in terms of average measured queue lengths and observed delay recorded as part of the data collection process. The constructed model is deemed to be fit for purpose.
- 9.2.3 The results indicate that the junction currently operates within capacity for both AM & PM peak with measured RFC %'s (Ratio of Flow to Capacity) of 64% & 56% respectively. The junction is working at a Level of Service D.
- 9.2.4 Future year results, both with and without development, show a steady degradation in capacity at the junction with significant delay occurring.

		No	Developm	ent	With Development			
Junction 1: R617/R579		RFC %	Delay (s)	Level of Service	RFC %	Delay (s)	Level of Service	
2021	AM	64.0	30.3	D	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2021	PM	56.0	22.1	С	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2024	AM	72.0	39.7	E	83.0	63.2	F	
2024	PM	62.0	26.3	D	80.0	50.1	F	
2020	AM	85.0	72.2	F	100.0	148.3	F	
2029	PM	70.0	34.5	D	89.0	82.4	F	
2020	AM	103.0	167.9	F	114.0	271.7	F	
2039	PM	80.0	52.8	F	103.0	203.5	F	

Table 9.2: Junction 1: R617/R579

9.3 Junction 2: Retail Access onto the R617

- The PICADY results for Junction 2 with development are presented in Table 9.3 below. 9.3.1
- 9.3.2 The results indicate that the junction will operate within capacity during both AM & PM peak for all future years.

lunction	Junction 2: Retail Access onto R617		Developm	ent	With Development			
Access			Dolay (c)	Level of	DEC %	Dolay (c)	Level of	
Accessio			Delay (S)	Service	KFC 70	Delay (S)	Service	
2024	AM	N/A	N/A	N/A	6.0	11.2	В	
2024	PM	N/A	N/A	N/A	40.0	17.6	С	
2020	AM	N/A	N/A	N/A	6.0	11.8	В	
2023	PM	N/A	N/A	N/A	42.0	19.1	С	
2020	AM	N/A	N/A	N/A	6.0	12.2	В	
2035	PM	N/A	N/A	N/A	44.0	21.0	С	

Table 9.3: Junction 2: Retail Access onto R617

- 9.4 Junction 3: Residential Access onto the R617
- The PICADY results for Junction 3 with development are presented in Table 9.4 below. 9.4.1
- 9.4.2 for all future years.

Junct	ion 3:	No	Developm	ent	With Development			
Residenti	ial Access	al Access		Delay (c)	Level of			
onto	R617	KFC 70	Delay (S)	Service	KFC 70	Delay (S)	Service	
2024	AM	N/A	N/A	N/A	32.0	15.4	С	
2024	PM	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.0	12.6	В	
2020	AM	N/A	N/A	N/A	33.0	16.5	С	
2025	PM	N/A	N/A	N/A	18.0	13.3	В	
2020	AM	N/A	N/A	N/A	34.0	17.4	С	
2035	PM	N/A	N/A	N/A	19.0	14.2	В	

Table 9.4 Junction 3: Residential Access onto R617

9.5 TRAFFIC MODELLING CONCLUSIONS

9.5.1 (Junctions 2 & 3) operate within capacity up to and including the design year 2039.

Analysis of Junction 1: R617/R579 shows that the junction currently operates within capacity with a level of service D during the morning peak hour. With the addition of standard growth rates on existing traffic flows, the level of service for 2024 goes to E for the AM time period. When development traffic is added, the Junction LOS goes to F. The conclusion from the modelling is that the junction will deteriorate over time both with/without development taking place. To resolve this issue, it will be necessary to carry out remedial works, such as the signalisation of the junction, in future years. Other interim measures, such as developing right turn lanes on approach roads, will also have a positive benefit. The delivery of Bus Connects will include modifications to junctions to prioritise public transport and it may be that this junction will fall into this category.

A LinSig traffic model of the junction was constructed both with/without development traffic which shows that the junction can operate within capacity up to the Design Year 2039 if the junction is signalised. Table 9.5 shows the results of the Traffic Signal Controlled junction. An

The results indicate that the junction will operate within capacity during both AM & PM peak

The traffic modelling results show that both proposed junctions serving the development

Cloghroe Development Ltd.

increase in cycle time from 60 seconds to 90 seconds in 2029 is warranted given the continued increase in traffic volumes based on TII (Transport Infrastructure Ireland) growth rates.

Number	Scenario Name	Flow Group	Network Control Plan	Flows	Time	Cycle Time (s)	PRC (%)	Delay (pcuHr)	Status	Mark
1	AM 2021	2021 AM	Network Control Plan 1	Assign Flows	08:00 - 09:00	60	33.5	5.74	Calculated	
2	PM 2021	2021 PM	Network Control Plan 1	Assign Flows	17:00 - 18:00	60	53.6	3.98	Calculated	
3	AM 2024 No Dev	2024 AM No Dev	Network Control Plan 1	Assign Flows	08:00 - 09:00	60	29.9	6.52	Calculated	
4	PM 2024 No Dev	2024 PM No Dev	Network Control Plan 1	Assign Flows	17:00 - 18:00	60	43.2	4.45	Calculated	Г
5	AM 2024 With Dev	2024 AM With Dev	Network Control Plan 1	Assign Flows	08:00 - 09:00	60	22.2	7.45	Calculated	
6	PM 2024 With Dev	2024 PM With Dev	Network Control Plan 1	Assign Flows	17:00 - 18:00	60	32.7	5.77	Calculated	Г
7	AM 2029 No Dev	2029 AM No Dev	Network Control Plan 1	Assign Flows	08:00 - 09:00	60	19.3	7.90	Calculated	
8	PM 2029 No Dev	2029 PM No Dev	Network Control Plan 1	Assign Flows	17:00 - 18:00	60	39.1	5.06	Calculated	Г
9	AM 2029 With Dev	2029 AM With Dev	Network Control Plan 1	Assign Flows	08:00 - 09:00	90	13.0	11.49	Calculated	
10	PM 2029 With Dev	2029 PM With Dev	Network Control Plan 1	Assign Flows	17:00 - 18:00	90	27.4	8.23	Calculated	Г
11	AM 2039 No Dev	2039 AM No Dev	Network Control Plan 1	Assign Flows	08:00 - 09:00	90	7.7	12.44	Calculated	
12	PM 2039 No Dev	2039 PM No Dev	Network Control Plan 1	Assign Flows	17:00 - 18:00	90	29.2	7.39	Calculated	Γ
13	AM 2039 With Dev	2039 AM With Dev	Network Control Plan 1	Assign Flows	08:00 - 09:00	90	4.3	14.95	Calculated	
14	PM 2039 With Dev	2039 PM With Dev	Network Control Plan 1	Assign Flows	17:00 - 18:00	90	18.3	9.60	Calculated	Γ

Table 9.5 Junction 1: LinSig Signalisation of Junction

CUMULATIVE IMPACT 10.0

- 10.1.1 As outlined in **Section 7.0** of this report, industry standard growth rates have been applied to background traffic for future year assessments (to account for further development within the area). These growth rates make allowance for modal shift targets as set by national policy but do not take account of site-specific measures that may be implemented to mitigate against traffic generation from a particular development. In this instance the development of strategic transport corridors in-line with the CMATS study and Bus Connects. A modest increase in modal shift from the recorded 5% level to 20% for future years has been applied. The 20% figure falls well short of the national target of 45% implying that the analysis presented is robust.
- 10.1.2 Per the Cork Metropolitan Area Transport Strategy (CMATS), future road infrastructural projects such as the Northern Distributor Road and the Outer Ring Road will have a fundamental effect on traffic in this area. At present the R579/R617 to Blarney is used as a bypass of the City Centre for vehicles wishing to travel north on the N20 from the western suburbs. With the delivery of these road schemes, traffic volumes on this route will fall dramatically.
- 10.1.3 The local primary school, and retail offerings in Tower are all within walking distance of the site. Muskerry Golf Club is also within walking distance.

ROAD SAFETY 11.0

11.1.1 Existing Road Network Safety

The R617 adjacent to the site operates at a 50kph speed limit and comprises a wide 6.0m carriageway with a hard shoulder of 2.5m on the western side. There are no cycle lane facilities on this stretch of road. The existing footpath runs on the opposite side of the development (eastern side) and varies in width along its length. There is a 5% vertical gradient on the upper stretch of the R617 before it flattens out on its approach to the proposed development access points. The observed speed on this stretch of road is in excess of the posted speed limit which is attributed to the wide carriageway width and the downhill gradient.

A Zebra Controlled crossing has been recently installed on the R617 as shown in Figure 11.1 below. This provides pedestrian links to the existing retail offering, The Church and to the school.



Fig 11.1: Existing Zebra Crossing on the R617

11.1.2 Road Collision Database

A review of the RSA Road Collision Statistics was undertaken for the area in the vicinity of the applicants' site.

A number of minor collisions occurred in the wider area over the available 11-year period as shown in Figure 11.2.



Fig 11.2: Collision statistics for Roads in the vicinity of the site



Cloghroe Development, Cloghroe, Cork

Coolflugh	Ireland road collisions	<u>Restart</u>
1	Help	۲
	Collisions	Θ
Woodlands	Severity Fatal Serious Minor All O O O	
	Year 2016 2015 2014 2013 2012 2011 2010 2009 2008 2007 2006 2005 Image: All All	5
	Type All Pedestrian Bicycle Motorcycle Car Goods vehicle Bus Other 	
	Collision information	Θ
Catholic roe Cloghroe National Catholic School	Severity Minor Year 2016 Vehicle Car Circumstances Other Day of week Monday Time 0300-0700 Speed limit 50 KPH No. casualties - minor 1 No. casualties - total 1	
f Use Reputer Appendix		

Cloghroe Development, Cloghroe, Cork

11.1.3 Proposed Road Safety Mitigation Measures

The proposed development will include a number of measures that are deemed necessary to improve road safety in the area. Internally within the scheme, raised junctions, colour contrasted surfaces and minimal junction radii will create a low-speed regime where pedestrians will have the priority.

External to the site, a number of public realm improvement works are proposed which will address the issue of speed on the R617 at this location. These measures include the narrowing of the carriageway width to 6.0m, the provision of a raised footpath and cycle lane along the development boundary, a traffic signal-controlled pedestrian crossing as well as providing for a possible future Bus Connects Lane. The full extent of works proposed are detailed in the submitted planning drawings.



Fig 11.3: Proposed Public Realm Works on the R617

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT 12.0

- The proposed development has been designed in accordance with the principles of DMURS 12.1 (Design Manual for Urban Roads and Streets) with all internal roads having a gradient of not greater than 5% and good pedestrian connectivity throughout.
- The close proximity to current public transport facilities, via connection to the existing footpath 12.2 network and proposed links, in conjunction with the continued development of the Strategic Transport Corridors, should result in the scheme moving closer to the target modal split as set out by Government (45%).

12.3 roads network.

INTERNAL LAYOUT & PARKING PROVISION 13.0

- 13.1
- 13.2 and 1.25 spaces per apartment.

14.0 PUBLIC TRANSPORT

14.1 is located on the R617 as shown in the following image.



14.2



The construction stage of the scheme proposes to re-use / relocate the bulk of the excavation within the site, thereby resulting in a significant reduction in construction traffic generated to and from the site. There is a requirement for the importation of construction materials over and above what can be re-used on-site. The importation of these materials will be carried out in accordance with the developed Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP). This will minimise the impact the construction phase of the development will have on the existing

Figure 4.1.1 presents the proposed layout which includes the provision of on-street and own curtilage parking, shared cycle/footpaths, pedestrian/cycle permeability throughout the site on designated off-road routes, and a raised shared surface serving as a speed control measure.

A total of 397 no. car parking spaces are proposed for the proposed development, which are allocated on the basis of housing type and likely demands of future residents. The proposed parking provision is below the Development Plan minimum standard of 2 spaces per house

The 215-bus service runs a 30min service with Cloghroe as its terminus and serves Blarney, Cork City Centre and Mahon Point. This service runs 7 days a week. An existing 215 bus stop

Fig 14.1: Location of existing 215 bus stop on the R617

The Cork Metropolitan Area Transport Strategy 2040 (CMATS) proposes significant improvements to the public transport facilities over and above what is currently available. With the provision of these facilities and other incentives as part of national policy, it is anticipated that a shift to public transport will occur before the operational phase of this scheme. CMATS has provided more certainty for the delivery of these enhancements. The LAP states that is an objective of the plan to Support the achievement of high levels of modal shift by collaborating MHD

with other agencies to improve public transport services and influence patterns of employment development to support use of sustainable modes and travel by public transport".

14.3 The following isochrone map shows the areas currently accessible by public transport based on time of travel from the site.

Note: The distances include transfers to different services so are indicative only (delay may be experienced during transfer)



Fig 14.2: Time of travel by Public Transport Options

14.4 It is evident from the figure that current bus provision in the area allows travel to a wide area within 30 mins, with many of the main employment centres being within the 20 mins range. This is significantly shorter than CSO figures for other areas such as Dublin City 28.9 mins, South Dublin 30.6 mins, Waterford City & County 22.4 mins, Limerick City & County 24.2 mins.

A commute time by public transport in excess of 45 mins results in a change in behavioural preference away from public transport. It can be concluded that the proposed development site by its location will encourage the use of public transport in-line with national policy.

14.5 The aforementioned travel times will significantly improve as a result of CMATS which will include bus priority at junctions, additional on-road facilities such as covered shelters, real-time arrival departure boards and an increase in frequency of service. These measures, scheduled for delivery in 2023, will require the density of population in the area served, to justify this expenditure by the NTA. The village of Tower is on a Bus Priority Route as defined in CMATS and based on this Cork City Council requested that the proposed development make provision

for a future dedicated bus lane. As outlined in Figure 2.1 a 3.25m reservation has been included which will form part of the hard/soft landscaping of the scheme.



15.0 ACCESSIBILITY AND INTEGRATION

A desktop assessment of permeability for cyclists and pedestrians from the site was carried 15.1 out. Presented in the following isochrone maps are the range of distances, for both pedestrians and cyclists, based on travel time. Pedestrians have the benefit of footpaths, but cyclists are required to use the existing regional roads and share with other vehicles.



Fig 15.1: Proposed Development: Walking distance to local area



Fig 15.2: Proposed Development: Cycle distance to local area

- 15.2 Within 10 mins walk time from the site:
 - Cloghroe Retail Park
 - Cloghroe Church
 - Cloghroe National Primary School
 - Muskerry Golf Course

Within 20 mins walk time from the site:

- SuperValu Tower
- Tower Medical Centre
- DayBreak Circle K Tower •
- Aunties Bar
- The Hunstman ٠
- 15.3 on unrestricted flow through junctions.

Note: The travel speed used is on the low side, an experienced cyclist would have a 26-30kph average speed, however the speed used is more reflective of the topography in and around Tower.

15.4 well as serving the 215-bus stop for all local residents.

ACCESS FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES 16.0

The internal layout of the development is designed to accommodate all road users and will 16.1 for use of tactile paving.

MOBILITY MANAGEMENT PLAN (SUSTAINABLE ACCESS STRATEGY) 17.0

- 17.1 change, and this can be achieved through the delivery of 'Mobility Management Plans'.
- Authority Works (CMATS).
- An overview of the sustainable infrastructure proposed is as follows: 17.3
 - \geq transport offerings, schools, retail, and amenity destinations.
 - \triangleright encourage the use of sustainable transport modes.
 - \triangleright the weather.



The cycle range is presented in similar terms and relates to the average distance travelled in a specific time (16-19 kmh). Blarney and Ballincollig both fall within the 20 min category based

As part of the proposed development, the R617 will be upgraded to include a 2.0m cycle track, a 1.0m planted verge, a 2.0m pedestrian footpath and a reservation of 3.25m for a future Bus Lane as part of Bus Connects. An additional controlled pedestrian crossing on the R617 is also included which will significantly improve connectivity to and from the development site as

adhere to national guidelines regarding people with disabilities. Proposed works to the public realm in the vicinity of the site will benefit all road users encouraging walking and cycling as well as the use of public transport. All pedestrian crossings as well as footpath/cycle lane crossings will include the appropriate tactile paving in accordance with the design guidelines

As outlined previously, there will be significant connectivity between the development site and local services and public transport options. A 'Mobility Management Plan/Travel Plan' is a strategy for managing multi-modal access to a site or development, focusing on promoting access by sustainable modes. The objective of national and local policy is to reduce reliance on the car for travel. Inducements and encouragement should be applied in order to influence

17.2 A mobility management plan relating to a residential development has been prepared and is submitted with the application documentation and highlights the proximity of local services, public transport provision, schools and walking/cycle distances to same. The proposed 'hard measures' that will facilitate safer pedestrian, cycle and public bus access will be provided in the event that permission is granted and will be further complimented by scheduled Local

Connection to existing pedestrian footpath network provides linkage to public

Car parking provision within the site is at the lower end of the scale in order to

Provision of bicycle parking facilities allowing ease of access and protection against

MFD & ASSOCIATES LT Cloghroe Development, Cloghroe, Cork

REFERENCES 18.0

- National Roads Authority (May 2014) Traffic and Transport Assessment Guidelines NRA, Dublin •
- Institution of Highways & Transportation (1994) Guidelines for Traffic Impact Assessment IHT, • London
- National Roads Authority (2000) <u>Road Geometry Handbook</u> NRA, Dublin
- National Roads Authority (revised 2003) Design Manual For Roads and Bridges NRA, Dublin •
- National Roads Authority (November 2004) Draft Traffic and Transport Assessment Guidelines • NRA, Dublin
- RSA Ireland Road Collisions •

http://www.rsa.ie/RSA/Road-Safety/Our-Research/Ireland-Road-Collisions/





APPENDIX A: TRAFFIC MODEL OUTPUTS - PICADY





Junctions 9 PICADY 9 - Priority Intersection Module Version: 9.5.1.7462 © Copyright TRL Limited, 2019 For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL: +44 (0)1344 37977 Software @trl.co.uk Www.trlsoftware.co.uk The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution

Filename: 19083HD Junction 9 Assessment.j9 Path: N:\HOUS_DEV\19083HD_Cloghroe_BMOR\Traffic Modelling Report generation date: 15/06/2021 17:34:27

»2021, AM

»2021, PM »2024 Do Nothing, AM »2024 Do Nothing, PM »2024 With Dev, AM »2029 Do Nothing, AM »2029 Do Nothing, PM »2029 With Dev, AM »2029 With Dev, PM »2039 Do Nothing, AM »2039 Do Nothing, PM »2039 With Dev, AM »2039 With Dev, PM

Summary of junction performance

				AM						РМ		
	Set ID	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	Network Residual Capacity	Set ID	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	Network Residual Capacity
						20	21					
Junction 1 - Stream B-C		1.4	19.16	0.56	С			0.3	10.93	0.23	В	
Junction 1 - Stream B-A		2.1	34.06	0.67	D			1.5	23.68	0.58	С	
Junction 1 - Stream C-AB		0.9	11.19	0.43	В	1 %		1.2	10.09	0.47	В	14 %
Junction 2 - Stream B-AC	D1	0.0	0.00	0.00	A	[Junction 1 - Stream	D2	0.0	0.00	0.00	A	[Junction 1 - Stream
Junction 2 - Stream C-AB		0.0	0.00	0.00	Α	B-A]		0.0	0.00	0.00	Α	B-A]
Junction 3 - Stream B-AC		0.0	0.00	0.00	A			0.0	0.00	0.00	A	
Junction 3 - Stream C-AB		0.0	0.00	0.00	А			0.0	0.00	0.00	Α	
						2024 Do	Nothi	ng				
Junction 1 - Stream B-C		2.0	27.03	0.66	D			0.4	12.07	0.26	В	
Junction 1 - Stream B-A		3.1	47.28	0.76	E			1.9	28.49	0.64	D	
Junction 1 - Stream C-AB		1.1	12.05	0.47	В	-6 %		1.4	10.91	0.51	В	7 %
Junction 2 - Stream B-AC	D3	0.0	0.00	0.00	A	[Junction 1 - Stream	D4	0.0	0.00	0.00	A	[Junction 1 - Stream
Junction 2 - Stream C-AB		0.0	0.00	0.00	Α	B-A]		0.0	0.00	0.00	Α	B-A]
Junction 3 - Stream B-AC		0.0	0.00	0.00	A			0.0	0.00	0.00	A	
Junction 3 - Stream C-AB		0.0	0.00	0.00	А			0.0	0.00	0.00	Α	
	2024 With De						v					
Junction 1 - Stream B-C		8.0	93.54	0.95	F			1.0	26.34	0.48	D	
Junction 1 - Stream B-A		7.6	104.00	0.93	F			4.7	62.65	0.84	F	
Junction 1 - Stream C-AB		1.2	13.02	0.51	В	-13 %		2.4	15.53	0.65	С	-11 %

Junction 2 - Stream B-AC	D5	0.1	11.18	0.06	В	[Junction 1 - Stream	D6	0.7	17.60	0.40	С	[Junction 1 - Stream
Junction 2 - Stream C-AB		0.1	5.06	0.05	А	B-A]		0.4	6.37	0.15	А	B-A]
Junction 3 - Stream B-AC		0.5	15.38	0.32	С			0.2	12.58	0.17	В	
Junction 3 - Stream C-AB		0.1	4.99	0.05	А			0.3	5.97	0.11	Α	
						2029 Do	Nothir	ng				
Junction 1 - Stream B-C		7.8	93.29	0.95	F			0.5	14.73	0.31	В	
Junction 1 - Stream B-A		7.4	104.11	0.93	F			2.7	38.31	0.72	Е	
Junction 1 - Stream C-AB		1.4	13.58	0.53	В	-14 %		1.8	12.31	0.57	В	-2 %
Junction 2 - Stream B-AC	D7	0.0	0.00	0.00	Α	[Junction 1 - Stream	ream D8	0.0	0.00	0.00	А	[Junction 1 - Stream
Junction 2 - Stream C-AB		0.0	0.00	0.00	Α	B-A]		0.0	0.00	0.00	А	B-A]
Junction 3 - Stream B-AC		0.0	0.00	0.00	А			0.0	0.00	0.00	А	
Junction 3 - Stream C-AB		0.0	0.00	0.00	А			0.0	0.00	0.00	А	
						2029 W	ith De	v				
Junction 1 - Stream B-C		19.4	204.26	1.07	F			5.8	138.25	0.96	F	
Junction 1 - Stream B-A		17.5	209.65	1.06	F			9.0	110.04	0.95	F	
Junction 1 - Stream C-AB		1.6	14.86	0.56	В	-20 %		3.3	18.86	0.71	С	-17 %
Junction 2 - Stream B-AC	D9	0.1	11.75	0.06	В	[Junction 1 - Stream	D10	0.8	19.08	0.42	С	[Junction 1 - Stream
Junction 2 - Stream C-AB		0.1	4.96	0.06	А	B-A]		0.4	6.32	0.16	А	B-A]
Junction 3 - Stream B-AC		0.5	16.47	0.33	С			0.2	13.31	0.18	В	
Junction 3 - Stream C-AB		0.1	4.90	0.06	А			0.3	5.91	0.12	А	
						2039 Do	Nothir	ng				
Junction 1 - Stream B-C		20.5	218.31	1.08	F			0.9	24.75	0.46	С	
Junction 1 - Stream B-A		18.5	223.35	1.07	F			4.5	61.02	0.83	F	
Junction 1 - Stream C-AB		1.8	15.77	0.59	С	-21 %		2.4	14.52	0.64	В	-10 %
Junction 2 - Stream B-AC	D11	0.0	0.00	0.00	А	[Junction 1 - Stream	D12	0.0	0.00	0.00	А	[Junction 1 - Stream
Junction 2 - Stream C-AB		0.0	0.00	0.00	А	B-A]		0.0	0.00	0.00	А	B-A]
Junction 3 - Stream B-AC		0.0	0.00	0.00	A			0.0	0.00	0.00	А	
Junction 3 - Stream C-AB		0.0	0.00	0.00	А			0.0	0.00	0.00	А	
						2039 W	ith De	v				
Junction 1 - Stream B-C		37.2	373.40	1.21	F			11.1	265.11	1.09	F	
Junction 1 - Stream B-A		33.2	377.00	1.20	F			20.7	216.52	1.08	F	
Junction 1 - Stream C-AB		2.1	17.56	0.63	С	-26 %		4.8	25.25	0.79	D	-23 %
Junction 2 - Stream B-AC	D13	0.1	12.19	0.06	В	[Junction 1 - Stream	D14	0.8	21.03	0.44	С	[Junction 1 - Stream
Junction 2 - Stream C-AB		0.1	4.84	0.06	Α	B-A]		0.5	6.27	0.17	Α	B-A]
Junction 3 - Stream B-AC		0.6	17.36	0.34	С			0.2	14.22	0.19	В	
Junction 3 - Stream C-AB		0.1	4.78	0.06	Α			0.3	5.85	0.12	Α	

Values shown are the highest values encountered over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle. Network Residual Capacity indicates the amount by which network flow could be increased before a user-definable threshold (see Analysis Options) is met.

File summary

1

File Description

Title	Cloghroe HD - BMOR
Location	Cloghroe, Blarney
Site number	
Date	14/06/2021
Version	
Status	(new file)
Identifier	
Client	
Jobnumber	19083HD
Enumerator	MHL\bmurphy
Description	





Distance units	Speed units	Traffic units input	Traffic units results	Flow units	Average delay units	Total delay units	Rate of delay units
m	kph	PCU	PCU	perHour	S	-Min	perMin

Analysis Options

Calculate Queue	Calculate residual	Residual capacity criteria	RFC Threshold	Average Delay threshold	Queue threshold	
Percentiles	capacity	type		(s)	(PCU)	
	✓	Delay	0.85	36.00	20.00	

Demand Set Summary

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)
D1	2021	AM	ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	15
D2	2021	PM	ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	15
D3	2024 Do Nothing	AM	ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	15
D4	2024 Do Nothing	PM	ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	15
D5	2024 With Dev	AM	ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	15
D6	2024 With Dev	PM	ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	15
D7	2029 Do Nothing	AM	ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	15
D8	2029 Do Nothing	PM	ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	15
D9	2029 With Dev	AM	ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	15
D10	2029 With Dev	PM	ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	15
D11	2039 Do Nothing	AM	ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	15
D12	2039 Do Nothing	PM	ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	15
D13	2039 With Dev	AM	ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	15
D14	2039 With Dev	PM	ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	15

Analysis Set Details

ID	Network flow scaling factor (%)
A1	100.000



2021, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

Junction Network

Junctions

	Junction	Name	Junction type	Major road direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
Γ	1	R579 / R617 T Junction	T-Junction	Two-way		12.57	В
	2	R617 Retail Entrance	T-Junction	Two-way		0.00	F
	3	R617 Residential Entrance	T-Junction	Two-way		0.00	F

Junction Network Options

Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First arm reachin
Left	Normal/unknown	1	Junction 1 - St

Arms

Arms

Junction	Arm	Name	Description	Arm type
	Α	R579 To Banteer		Major
1	в	R617 To Blarney		Minor
	С	R579 To City		Major
	Α	R579 To Banteer		Major
2	в	R617 To Blarney		Minor
	С	R579 To City		Major
	Α	R579 To Banteer		Major
3	в	R617 To Blarney		Minor
	С	R579 To City		Major

Major Arm Geometry

Junction	Arm	Width of carriageway (m)	Has kerbed central reserve	Has right turn bay	Visibility for right turn (m)	Blocks?	Blocking queue (PCU)
1	С	6.30			165.0	✓	0.00
2	С	6.00			120.0	✓	0.00
3	С	6.00			145.0	✓	0.00

Geometries for Arm C are measured opposite Arm B. Geometries for Arm A (if relevant) are measured opposite Arm D.

Minor Arm Geometry

Junction	Arm	Minor arm type	Lane width (m)	Width at give-way (m)	Width at 5m (m)	Width at 10m (m)	Width at 15m (m)	Width at 20m (m)	Estimate flare length	Flare length (PCU)	Visibility to left (m)	Visibility to right (m)
1	в	One lane plus flare		8.00	6.00	4.00	3.50	3.25		3.50	40	35
2	в	One lane	3.00								25	30
3	в	One lane	3.00								25	30

Zebra Crossings

Junction	Arm	Space between crossing and junction entry (Left) (PCU)	Space between crossing and junction entry (Right / All) (PCU)	Vehicles queueing on exit (Zebra) (PCU)	Central Refuge	Crossing data type	Crossing length (entry side) (m)	Crossing time (entry side) (s)	Crossing length (exit side) (m)	Crossing time (exit side) (s)
1	в	14.00	10.00	10.00	✓	Distance	3.00	2.14	3.00	2.14

ng threshold Stream B-A



Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Priority Intersection Slopes and Intercepts

Junction	Stream	Intercept (PCU/hr)	Slope for A-B	Slope for A-C	Slope for C-A	Slope for C-B
	B-A	523	0.094	0.237	0.149	0.339
1	B-C	692	0.105	0.265	-	-
	C-B	670	0.256	0.256	-	-

Priority Intersection Slopes and Intercepts

Junction	Stream	Intercept (PCU/hr)	Slope for A-B	Slope for A-C	Slope for C-A	Slope for C-B
	B-A	500	0.091	0.230	0.145	0.329
2	B-C	643	0.099	0.249	-	-
	C-B	643	0.249	0.249	-	-

Priority Intersection Slopes and Intercepts

Junction	Stream	Intercept (PCU/hr)	Slope for A-B	Slope for A-C	Slope for C-A	Slope for C-B
	B-A	500	0.091	0.230	0.145	0.329
3	B-C	643	0.099	0.249	-	-
	C-B	658	0.255	0.255	-	-

The slopes and intercepts shown above do NOT include any corrections or adjustments.

Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted.

Values are shown for the first time segment only; they may differ for subsequent time segments.

Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)
D1	2021	AM	ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	15

Default vehicle mix	Vehicle mix source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)	
✓	HV Percentages	2.00	

v v	TTV Fercentages	4

Demand overview (Traffic)

Junction	Arm	Linked arm	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
	A		~	407	100.000
1	в		✓	453	100.000
	С		✓	294	100.000
	A		√	0	100.000
2	в		✓	0	100.000
	С		✓	0	100.000
	A		~	0	100.000
3	в		✓	0	100.000
	С		✓	0	100.000

Demand overview (Pedestrians)

Junction	Arm	Average pedestrian flow (Ped/hr)
	Α	
1	в	20.00
	С	
	Α	
2	в	
	С	
	Α	
3	в	
	С	

Origin-Destination Data

	Demand (PCU/hr)					
			٦	б		
lunction 1			Α	В	С	
Junction	-	Α	0	216	191	
	From	в	213	0	240	
		С	89	205	0	

Dema	nd (PCU/hr)
	To

Junction 2

		То					
		Α	В	С			
From	Α	0	0	0			
	в	0	0	0			
	С	0	0	0			

C

0

0

0 0

	Demand (PCU/hr)			
			T	o
lunction 2			Α	в
Junction 5	F	Α	0	0
	From	ъ	0	0

C 0

Vehicle Mix

	Heavy Vehicle Percentages					
			То			
lunction 1			Α	в	С	
Junction	_	Α	10	10	10	
	From	в	10	10	10	

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

c 10 10 10

Junction 2

		То			
		Α	в	С	
From	Α	10	10	10	
	в	10	10	10	
	С	10	10	10	





2021, PM

Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

Junction Network

Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Major road direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	R579 / R617 T Junction	T-Junction	Two-way		9.15	A
2	R617 Retail Entrance	T-Junction	Two-way		0.00	F
3	R617 Residential Entrance	T-Junction	Two-way		0.00	F

Junction Network Options

Driving side	Lighting	First arm reachir	
Left	Normal/unknown	14	Junction 1 - St

Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)
D2	2021	PM	ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	15

Default vehicle mix	Vehicle mix source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)	
✓	HV Percentages	2.00	

Demand overview (Traffic)

Junction	Arm	Linked arm	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
	Α		~	258	100.000
1	в		✓	302	100.000
	С		✓	417	100.000
	Α		✓	0	100.000
2	в		✓	0	100.000
	С		✓	0	100.000
3	Α		✓	0	100.000
	в		✓	0	100.000
	С		✓	0	100.000

Demand overview (Pedestrians)

Junction	Arm	Average pedestrian flow (Ped/hr)
	Α	
1	в	20.00
	С	
	Α	
2	в	
	С	
	Α	
3	в	
	С	

Origin-Destination Data

7

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

			Т	o	
lunction 2			Α	в	С
Junction 5	_	Α	10	10	10
	From	в	10	10	10
		С	10	10	10

Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Junction	Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
	B-C	0.56	19.16	1.4	С
1	B-A	0.67	34.06	2.1	D
	C-AB	0.43	11.19	0.9	В
	C-A				
	A-B				
	A-C				
	B-AC	0.00	0.00	0.0	A
	C-AB	0.00	0.00	0.0	A
2	C-A				
	A-B				
	A-C				
	B-AC	0.00	0.00	0.0	А
	C-AB	0.00	0.00	0.0	А
3	C-A				
	ΑB				
	A-C				

ng threshold

stream B-A



Junction 2



Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Junction	Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	
	B-C	0.23	10.93	0.3	
	B-A	0.58	23.68	1.5	
	C-AB	0.47	10.09	1.2	
1	C-A				
	A-B				
	AC				
	B-AC	0.00	0.00	0.0	
	C-AB	0.00	0.00	0.0	
2	C-A				
	ΑB				
	AC				
	B-AC	0.00	0.00	0.0	
3	C-AB	0.00	0.00	0.0	
	C-A				
	A-B				
	AC				

Demand (PCU/hr) To To A B C A 0 194 64 B 206 0 96 C 194 223 0

Demand (PCU/hr)

	То				
		Α	в	С	
_	Α	0	0	0	
From	в	0	0	0	
	с	0	0	0	

Demand (PCU/hr)

Junction 3

		•	
	Α	в	С
Α	0	0	0
в	0	0	0
С	0	0	0
	A B C	AA0B0C0	A B A 0 0 B 0 0 C 0 0

To

Vehicle Mix

Junction 2

Junction 3

Heavy Vehicle Percentag							
		То					
lunction 1			Α	в	С		
Junction	From	Α	10	10	10		
		в	10	10	10		
		С	10	10	10		

Н	Heavy Vehicle Percentage							
			То					
			Α	в	С			
	-	Α	10	10	10			
	From	в	10	10	10			
		С	10	10	10			

Heavy Vehicle Percentage							
		То					
		Α	в	С			
_	Α	10	10	10			
From	в	10	10	10			
	С	10	10	10			

Max LOS
В
С
В
А
А
А
А





Junction 1

Junction 2

Junction 3

	Demar	nd (P	CU/	nr)			
		То					
From		Α	в	С			
	Α	0	231	204			
	в	228	0	257			
	С	95	219	0			

Demand (PCU/hr)						
		То				
		Α	в	С		
_	Α	0	0	0		
From	в	0	0	0		

		10						
From		Α	в	С				
	Α	0	0	0				
	в	0	0	0				
	С	0	0	0				

Demand (PCU/hr)							
		То					
			Α	в	С		
	-	Α	0	0	0		
	From	в	0	0	0		

C 0

0

Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages							
4	From		Α	в	С		
'		Α	10	10	10		
		в	10	10	10		
		С	10	10	10		

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

Junction 2

Junction

	То				
From		Α	в	С	
	Α	10	10	10	
	в	10	10	10	
	С	10	10	10	

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

Junction 3

	То				
From		Α	В	С	
	Α	10	10	10	
	в	10	10	10	
	С	10	10	10	

2024 Do Nothing, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

Junction Network

Junctions

Junction	Junction Name		Major road direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	R579 / R617 T Junction	T-Junction	Two-way		16.87	С
2	2 R617 Retail Entrance T-Junction		Two-way		0.00	F
3	R617 Residential Entrance	T-Junction	Two-way		0.00	F

Junction Network Options

Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First arm reaching threshold
Left	Normal/unknown	-6	Junction 1 - Stream B-A

Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

	ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)
I	D3	2024 Do Nothing	AM	ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	15

Default vehicle mix Vehicle mix source PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) HV Percentages 2.00 ✓

Demand overview (Traffic)

Junction	Arm	Linked arm	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
	A		~	435	100.000
1	в		✓	485	100.000
	С		√	314	100.000
	A		✓	0	100.000
2	в		✓	0	100.000
	С		✓	0	100.000
	A		✓	0	100.000
3	в		✓	0	100.000
	С		✓	0	100.000

Demand overview (Pedestrians)

Junction	Arm	Average pedestrian flow (Ped/hr)
	Α	
1	в	20.00
	С	
	Α	
2	в	
	С	
	Α	
3	в	
	С	

Origin-Destination Data

Results



2024 Do Nothing, PM

Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

Junction Network

Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Major road direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	R579 / R617 T Junction	T-Junction	Two-way		10.61	В
2	R617 Retail Entrance	T-Junction	Two-way		0.00	F
3	R617 Residential Entrance	T-Junction	Two-way		0.00	F

Junction Network Options

Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First arm reachir
Left	Normal/unknown	7	Junction 1 - St

Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)
D4	2024 Do Nothing	PM	ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	15

Default vehicle mix	Vehicle mix source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)	
✓	HV Percentages	2.00	

Demand overview (Traffic)

Junction	Arm	Linked arm	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
	Α		✓	277	100.000
1	в		✓	324	100.000
	С		✓	447	100.000
	Α		√	0	100.000
2	в		✓	0	100.000
	С		√	0	100.000
	Α		√	0	100.000
3	в		✓	0	100.000
	С		~	0	100.000

Demand overview (Pedestrians)

Junction	Arm	Average pedestrian flow (Ped/hr)
1	Α	
	в	20.00
	С	
	Α	
2	в	
	С	
	Α	
3	в	
	С	

Origin-Destination Data

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Junction	Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
	B-C	0.66	27.03	2.0	D
	B-A	0.76	47.28	3.1	E
1	C-AB	0.47	12.05	1.1	В
	C-A				
	A-B				
	A-C				
	B-AC	0.00	0.00	0.0	А
	C-AB	0.00	0.00	0.0	А
2	C-A				
	A-B				
	A-C				
	B-AC	0.00	0.00	0.0	А
	C-AB	0.00	0.00	0.0	А
3	C-A				
	A-B				
	A-C				

ng threshold

stream B-A



Junction 2



Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Junction	Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	
	B-C	0.26	12.07	0.4	
	B-A	0.64	28.49	1.9	
	C-AB	0.51	10.91	1.4	
	C-A				
	ΑB				
	AC				
	B-AC	0.00	0.00	0.0	
	C-AB	0.00	0.00	0.0	
2	C-A				
	ΑB				
	AC				
	B-AC	0.00	0.00	0.0	
	C-AB	0.00	0.00	0.0	
3	C-A				
	A-B				
	AC				

Demand (PCU/hr) Image: Tomage: Toma

Demand (PCU/hr)

		Т	о	
		Α	В	С
Farm	Α	0	0	0
From	в	0	0	0
	С	0	0	0

Demand (PCU/hr)

Junction 3

			•	
		Α	в	С
F	Α	0	0	0
From	в	0	0	0
	С	0	0	0

То

Vehicle Mix

Junction 2

Junction 3

I	Heavy Vehicle Percentages					
			T	ō		
lunction 1			Α	В	С	
Junction	From	Α	10	10	10	
		в	10	10	10	
		С	10	10	10	

1	Heavy	Veh	icle	Per	cent	ages
			Т	o		
			Α	В	С	
		Α	10	10	10	
	From	в	10	10	10	
		С	10	10	10	

ļ	Heavy	Veh	icle	Per	cent	ages
			Т	o		
			Α	в	С	
		Α	10	10	10	
	From	в	10	10	10	
		С	10	10	10	

Max LOS
В
D
В
А
А
А
А





Junction 1

Demar	nd (P	CU/ł	nr)	
		Т	o	
		Α	в	С
F	Α	0	247	204
From	в	254	0	287
	с	95	234	0

Demand (PCU/hr)				
	То			

Junction 2

		т	o	
		Α	в	С
From	Α	0	17	450
	в	10	0	9
	С	485	18	0

 To

 A
 B
 C

 A
 0
 20
 450

B 56 0 52

C 485

18 0

Vehicle Mix

Junction 3

	Heavy Vehicle Percentag					
		То				
lunction 4			Α	в	С	
Junction	From	Α	10	10	10	
		в	10	10	10	
		С	10	10	10	

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

Junction 2

	То					
From		Α	В	С		
	Α	10	10	10		
	в	10	10	10		
	С	10	10	10		

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

Junction 3

	То				
		Α	В	С	
From	Α	10	10	10	
	в	10	10	10	
	С	10	10	10	

2024 With Dev, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

Junction Network

Junctions

Junc	ction	Name	Junction type	Major road direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	1	R579 / R617 T Junction	T-Junction	Two-way		43.02	E
2	2	R617 Retail Entrance	T-Junction	Two-way		0.41	А
3	3	R617 Residential Entrance	T-Junction	Two-way		1.72	А

Junction Network Options

Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First arm reaching threshold
Left	Normal/unknown	-13	Junction 1 - Stream B-A

Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

I	D Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)
	5 2024 With Dev	AM	ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	15

Default vehicle mix	Vehicle mix source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)
√	HV Percentages	2.00

Demand overview (Traffic)

Junction	Arm	Linked arm	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
	A		✓	451	100.000
1	в		✓	541	100.000
	С		~	329	100.000
	Α		✓	467	100.000
2	в		✓	19	100.000
	С		√	503	100.000
	Α		✓	470	100.000
3	в		✓	108	100.000
ľ	С		✓	503	100.000

Demand overview (Pedestrians)

Junction	Arm	Average pedestrian flow (Ped/hr)
	Α	
1	в	20.00
	С	
	Α	
2	в	
	С	
	Α	
3	в	
	С	

Origin-Destination Data

Results



2024 With Dev, PM

Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

Junction Network

Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Major road direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	R579 / R617 T Junction	T-Junction	Two-way		21.54	С
2	R617 Retail Entrance	T-Junction	Two-way		2.59	А
3	R617 Residential Entrance	T-Junction	Two-way		1.10	А

Junction Network Options

Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First arm reachir
Left	Normal/unknown	-11	Junction 1 - St

Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)
D6	2024 With Dev	PM	ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	15

Default vehicle mix	Vehicle mix source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)
✓	HV Percentages	2.00

Demand overview (Traffic)

Junction	Arm	Linked arm	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
	Α		✓	328	100.000
1	в		arm Use O-D data Average Demand (PCU/hr) ✓ 328 ✓ 392 ✓ 504 ✓ 626 ✓ 134 ✓ 375 ✓ 607 ✓ 58 ✓ 362	100.000	
	С		✓	ta Average Demand (PCU/hr 328 392 504 626 134 375 607 58 362 362	100.000
	Α		✓	626	100.000
2	в		Inked arm Use O-D data Average Demand (PCU/hr) ✓ 328 ✓ 392 ✓ 504 ✓ 626 ✓ 134 ✓ 375 ✓ 607 ✓ 58 ✓ 362	100.000	
	С		✓	Average Demand (PCU/hr 328 392 504 626 134 375 607 58 362	100.000
	Α		✓	607	100.000
3	в		✓	58	100.000
	С		√	362	100.000

Demand overview (Pedestrians)

lunction	Arm	Average pedestrian flow (Ped/hr)
	Α	
1	в	20.00
	С	
	Α	
2	в	
	С	
	Α	
3	в	
	С	

Origin-Destination Data

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Junction	Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
	B-C	0.95	93.54	8.0	F
	B-A	0.93	104.00	7.6	F
	C-AB	0.51	13.02	1.2	В
'	C-A				
	A-B				
	A-C				
	B-AC	0.06	11.18	0.1	В
	C-AB	0.05	5.06	0.1	А
2	C-A				
	A-B				
	A-C				
	B-AC	0.32	15.38	0.5	С
	C-AB	0.05	4.99	0.1	А
3	C-A				
	A-B				
	A-C				

ng threshold

Stream B-A



Junction 2



Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Junction	Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	
1	B-C	0.48	26.34	1.0	
	B-A	0.84	62.65	4.7	
	C-AB	0.65	15.53	2.4	
	C-A				
	ΑB				
	AC				
	B-AC	0.40	17.60	0.7	
	C-AB	0.15	6.37	0.4	
2	C-A				
	ΑB				
2	A-C				
	B-AC	0.17	12.58	0.2	
3	C-AB	0.11	5.97	0.3	
	C-A				
	ΑB				
	A-C				

Demand (PCU/hr)

Demand (PCU/hr)

			10				
			Α	в	С		
	_	Α	0	73	553		
From	в	56	0	78			
	С	323	52	0			

Demand (PCU/hr)

То

Junction 3

			Α	в	С	
	From	Α	0	54	553	
		в	24	0	34	
		С	323	39	0	

Vehicle Mix

Junction 2

Junction 3

	Heavy Vehicle Percentages						
		То					
lunction 1			Α	В	С		
Junction	-	Α	10	10	10		
	From	в	10	10	10		
		С	10	10	10		

1	Heavy Vehicle Percentages						
			То				
			Α	в	С		
		Α	10	10	10		
Fr	From	в	10	10	10		
		С	10	10	10		

Heavy Vehicle Percentages						
		То				
		Α	В	С		
From	Α	10	10	10		
	в	10	10	10		
	С	10	10	10		

Max LOS
D
F
С
С
А
В
А





Junction 1

Junction 2

Junction 3

Junction

	Demand (PCU/hr)						
		То					
	From		Α	в	С		
		Α	0	252	223		
		в	248	0	280		
		С	104	239	0		

I	Demand (PCU/hr)							
		То						
			Α	в	С			
	F	Α	0	0	0			
	From	в	0	0	0			
_								

		То			
		Α	в	С	
F	Α	0	0	0	
From	в	0	0	0	
	С	0	0	0	

Demand (PCU/hr)							
		То					
			Α	в	С		
	-	Α	0	0	0		
	From	в	0	0	0		

C 0

0 0

Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages									
			То						
			Α	в	С				
'	From	Α	10	10	10				
		в	10	10	10				
		С	10	10	10				

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

Junction 2

		Т	o	
		Α	в	С
	Α	10	10	10
From	в	10	10	10
	С	10	10	10

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

Junction 3

		То				
		Α	В	С		
_	Α	10	10	10		
From	в	10	10	10		
	С	10	10	10		

2029 Do Nothing, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

Junction Network

Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Major road direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	R579 / R617 T Junction	T-Junction	Two-way		41.46	E
2	2 R617 Retail Entrance T-Junction		Two-way		0.00	F
3	R617 Residential Entrance	T-Junction	Two-way		0.00	F

Junction Network Options

Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First arm reaching threshold
Left	Normal/unknown	-14	Junction 1 - Stream B-A

Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

	ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)
Γ	D7	2029 Do Nothing	AM	ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	15

Default vehicle mix Vehicle mix source PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) HV Percentages 2.00 ✓

Demand overview (Traffic)

	Junction	Arm	Linked arm	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
		A		✓	475	100.000
	1	в		✓	528	100.000
		С		~	343	100.000
		A		✓	0	100.000
	2	в		✓	0	100.000
		С		~	0	100.000
		A		✓	0	100.000
	3	в		✓	0	100.000
		С		✓	0	100.000

Demand overview (Pedestrians)

Junction	Arm	Average pedestrian flow (Ped/hr)
	Α	
1	в	20.00
	С	
	Α	
2	в	
	С	
	Α	
3	в	
	С	

Origin-Destination Data

Results



2029 Do Nothing, PM

Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

Junction Network

Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Major road direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	R579 / R617 T Junction	T-Junction	Two-way		13.49	В
2	R617 Retail Entrance	T-Junction	Two-way		0.00	F
3	R617 Residential Entrance	T-Junction	Two-way		0.00	F

Junction Network Options

Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First arm reachin
Left	Normal/unknown	-2	Junction 1 - St

Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)
D8	2029 Do Nothing	PM	ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	15

Default vehicle mix Vehicle mix source		PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)	
✓	HV Percentages	2.00	

Demand overview (Traffic)

Junction	Arm	Linked arm	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
	Α		√	301	100.000
1	в		✓	352	100.000
	С		✓	486	100.000
	Α		√	0	100.000
2	в		✓	0	100.000
	С		~	0	100.000
	Α		✓	0	100.000
3	в		✓	0	100.000
	С		~	0	100.000

Demand overview (Pedestrians)

Junction	Arm	Average pedestrian flow (Ped/hr)
	Α	
1	в	20.00
	С	
	Α	
2	в	
	С	
	Α	
3	в	
	С	

Origin-Destination Data

Results Summary for whole modelled period

· ·					
Junction	Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
	B-C	0.95	93.29	7.8	F
	B-A	0.93	104.11	7.4	F
1	C-AB	0.53	13.58	1.4	В
'	C-A				
	A-B				
	A-C				
	B-AC	0.00	0.00	0.0	А
	C-AB	0.00	0.00	0.0	А
2	C-A				
	A-B				
	A-C				
	B-AC	0.00	0.00	0.0	A
	C-AB	0.00	0.00	0.0	А
3	C-A				
	A-B				
	A-C				

ng threshold

tream B-A



Junction 2



Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

			<u> </u>		
Junction	Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	
	B-C	0.31	14.73	0.5	
	B-A	0.72	38.31	2.7	
	C-AB	0.57	12.31	1.8	
1	C-A				
	A-B				
	AC				
	B-AC	0.00	0.00	0.0	
	C-AB	0.00	0.00	0.0	
2	C-A				
	ΑB				
	AC				
	B-AC	0.00	0.00	0.0	
	C-AB	0.00	0.00	0.0	
3	C-A				
	A-B				
	AC				

Demand (PCU/hr) To A B C A 0 226 75 B 240 0 112 C 226 260 0

Demand (PCU/hr)

		То				
			Α	в	С	
	From	Α	0	0	0	
		в	0	0	0	
		С	0	0	0	

Demand (PCU/hr)

Junction 3

		10					
		Α	в	С			
F	Α	0	0	0			
From	в	0	0	0			
	С	0	0	0			

То

Vehicle Mix

Junction 2

Junction 3

I	Heavy	Veh	icle	Per	cent	ages
	То					
lunction 1			Α	В	С	
Junction	-	Α	10	10	10	
	From	в	10	10	10	
		С	10	10	10	

	Heavy Vehicle Percentages							
			То					
			Α	В	С			
	From	Α	10	10	10			
		в	10	10	10			
		С	10	10	10			

Heavy Vehicle Percentages							
		То					
		Α	в	С			
_	Α	10	10	10			
From	в	10	10	10			
	С	10	10	10			

Max LOS
В
E
В
А
А
А
А





Junction 1

	Demand (PCU/hr)						
			То				
From		Α	в	С			
	F	Α	0	268	223		
	From	в	274	0	310		
	С	104	254	0			

Demar	nd (PCU/hr)
	То

Junction 2

		То		
		Α	в	С
F	Α	0	17	491
From	в	10	0	9
	С	528	18	0

 Demand (PCU/hr)

 To

 A
 B
 C

 A
 0
 20
 491

B 56 0 52

c 528

18 0

Vehicle Mix

Junction 3

 Heavy Vehicle Percentages

 Junction 1

 From
 C

 A
 B
 C

 A
 10
 10
 10

 B
 10
 10
 10

 C
 10
 10
 10

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

Junction 2

	То			
From		Α	в	С
	Α	10	10	10
	в	10	10	10
	С	10	10	10

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

Junction 3

		То			
From		Α	В	С	
	Α	10	10	10	
	в	10	10	10	
	С	10	10	10	

2029 With Dev, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

Junction Network

Junctions

Junction Name		Junction type	Major road direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	R579 / R617 T Junction	T-Junction	Two-way		87.41	F
2	R617 Retail Entrance	T-Junction	Two-way		0.40	А
3	R617 Residential Entrance	T-Junction	Two-way		1.70	A

Junction Network Options

Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First arm reaching threshold
Left	Normal/unknown	-20	Junction 1 - Stream B-A

Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

IC	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)
D	2029 With Dev	AM	ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	15

Default vehicle mix	Vehicle mix source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)
√	HV Percentages	2.00

Demand overview (Traffic)

	Junction	Arm	Linked arm	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
		A		✓	491	100.000
1	в		✓	584	100.000	
		С		~	358	100.000
		A		✓	508	100.000
2	2	в		✓	19	100.000
		С		~	546	100.000
		A		✓	511	100.000
	в		✓	108	100.000	
		С		✓	546	100.000

Demand overview (Pedestrians)

Junction	Arm	Average pedestrian flow (Ped/hr)
	Α	
1	в	20.00
	С	
2	Α	
	в	
	С	
3	Α	
	в	
	С	

Origin-Destination Data

Results



2029 With Dev, PM

Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

Junction Network

Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Major road direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	R579 / R617 T Junction	T-Junction	Two-way		44.49	E
2	R617 Retail Entrance	T-Junction	Two-way		2.61	A
3	R617 Residential Entrance	T-Junction	Two-way		1.08	А

Junction Network Options

Driving side Lighting		Network residual capacity (%)	First arm reachir
Left	Normal/unknown	-17	Junction 1 - St

Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)
D10	2029 With Dev	PM	ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	15

Default vehicle mix	Vehicle mix source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)	
~	HV Percentages	2.00	

Demand overview (Traffic)

Junction	Arm	Linked arm	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
	Α		✓	352	100.000
1	в		√	420	100.000
	С		✓	543	100.000
	Α		✓	676	100.000
2	в		✓	134	100.000
	С		✓	404	100.000
	Α		✓	657	100.000
3	в		✓	58	100.000
	С		√	391	100.000

Demand overview (Pedestrians)

Junction	Arm	Average pedestrian flow (Ped/hr)
	Α	
1	в	20.00
	С	
	A	
2	в	
	С	
	Α	
3	в	
	С	

Origin-Destination Data

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Junction	Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
	B-C	1.07	204.26	19.4	F
	B-A	1.06	209.65	17.5	F
	C-AB	0.56	14.86	1.6	В
'	C-A				
	A-B				
	A-C				
	B-AC	0.06	11.75	0.1	В
	C-AB	0.06	4.96	0.1	А
2	C-A				
	A-B				
	A-C				
	B-AC	0.33	16.47	0.5	С
3	C-AB	0.06	4.90	0.1	A
	C-A				
	A-B				
	A-C				

ng threshold Stream B-A



Junction 2



Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Junction	Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	
1	B-C	0.96	138.25	5.8	
	B-A	0.95	110.04	9.0	
	C-AB	0.71	18.86	3.3	
1	C-A				
	ΑB				
	AC				
	B-AC	0.42	19.08	0.8	
	C-AB	0.16	6.32	0.4	
2	C-A				
	ΑB				
	AC				
	B-AC	0.18	13.31	0.2	
3	C-AB	0.12	5.91	0.3	
	C-A				
	ΑB				
	AC				

Demand (PCU/hr) Image: Town of the symmetry of the symmetry

Demand (PCU/hr)

	10						
		Α	в	С			
Farm	Α	0	73	603			
From	в	56	0	78			
	С	352	52	0			

Demand (PCU/hr)

Junction 3

	То						
		Α	в	С			
-	Α	0	54	603			
From	в	24	0	34			
	с	352	39	0			

Vehicle Mix

Junction 2

Junction 3

	Heavy	Veh	icle	Per	cent	tages
	То					
lunction 1			Α	В	С	
Junction	_	Α	10	10	10	
	From	в	10	10	10	
		С	10	10	10	

1	Heavy Vehicle Percentages							
			То					
			Α	в	С			
	From	Α	10	10	10			
		в	10	10	10			
		С	10	10	10			

Heavy Vehicle Percentages							
		Α	в	С			
_	Α	10	10	10			
From	в	10	10	10			
	С	10	10	10			

Max LOS
F
F
С
С
А
В
А





Junction 1

Junction 2

	Demand (PCU/hr)					
		То				
			Α	В	С	
	From	Α	0	274	243	
		в	271	0	305	
		С	113	260	0	

Demand (PCU/hr)							
			Т	То			
			Α	в	С		
	From	Α	0	0	0		
		в	0	0	0		

С	0	0	0

Demand	(PCU/hr)	

Junction 3

Demand (PCU/hr)						
	То					
		Α	в	С		
-	Α	0	0	0		
From	в	0	0	0		
	С	0	0	0		

Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages То A B C Junction 1 **A** 10 10 10 From **B** 10 10 10 **c** 10 10 10

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

Junction 2

	То			
From		Α	в	С
	Α	10	10	10
	в	10	10	10
	С	10	10	10

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

Junction 3

	То				
		Α	В	С	
From	Α	10	10	10	
	в	10	10	10	
	С	10	10	10	

2039 Do Nothing, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

Junction Network

Junctions

Junction	Junction Name		Major road direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	R579 / R617 T Junction	T-Junction	Two-way		90.09	F
2	R617 Retail Entrance	T-Junction	Two-way		0.00	F
3	R617 Residential Entrance	T-Junction	Two-way		0.00	F

Junction Network Options

Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First arm reaching threshold
Left	Normal/unknown	-21	Junction 1 - Stream B-A

Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)
D11	2039 Do Nothing	AM	ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	15

Default vehicle mix Vehicle mix source PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) HV Percentages 2.00 ✓

Demand overview (Traffic)

	Junction	Junction Arm Linked arm U		Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
		A		~	517	100.000
	1	в		✓	576	100.000
		С		~	373	100.000
ſ		A		✓	0	100.000
	2	в		✓	0	100.000
		С		√	0	100.000
		A		✓	0	100.000
	3	в		✓	0	100.000
		С		✓	0	100.000

Demand overview (Pedestrians)

Junction	Arm	Average pedestrian flow (Ped/hr)
	Α	
1	в	20.00
	С	
	Α	
2	в	
	С	
	Α	
3	в	
	С	

Origin-Destination Data

Results



2039 Do Nothing, PM

Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

Junction Network

Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Major road direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	R579 / R617 T Junction	T-Junction	Two-way		20.14	С
2	R617 Retail Entrance	T-Junction	Two-way		0.00	F
3	R617 Residential Entrance	T-Junction	Two-way		0.00	F

Junction Network Options

Driving side	Lighting	ghting Network residual capacity (%)		
Left	Normal/unknown	-10	Junction 1 - St	

Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)
D12	2039 Do Nothing	PM	ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	15

Default vehicle mix	Vehicle mix source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)	
✓	HV Percentages	2.00	

Demand overview (Traffic)

Junction	Arm	Linked arm	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
	Α		~	328	100.000
1	в		✓	384	100.000
	С		~	530	100.000
	Α		√	0	100.000
2	в		✓	0	100.000
	С		~	0	100.000
	Α		✓	0	100.000
3	в		✓	0	100.000
	С		√	0	100.000

Demand overview (Pedestrians)

lunction	Arm	Average pedestrian flow (Ped/hr)
	Α	
1	в	20.00
	С	
	Α	
2	в	
	С	
	A	
3	в	
	С	

Origin-Destination Data

Results Summary for whole modelled period

		<u> </u>			
Junction	Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
	B-C	1.08	218.31	20.5	F
	B-A	1.07	223.35	18.5	F
	C-AB	0.59	15.77	1.8	С
'	C-A				
	A-B				
	A-C				
	B-AC	0.00	0.00	0.0	A
	C-AB	0.00	0.00	0.0	А
2	C-A				
	A-B				
	A-C				
	B-AC	0.00	0.00	0.0	А
	C-AB	0.00	0.00	0.0	А
3	C-A				
	A-B				
	A-C				

ng threshold Stream B-A



Junction 2



Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Junction	Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	
	B-C	0.46	24.75	0.9	
	B-A	0.83	61.02	4.5	
	C-AB	0.64	14.52	2.4	
1	C-A				
	ΑB				
	AC				
	B-AC	0.00	0.00	0.0	
	C-AB	0.00	0.00	0.0	
2	C-A				
	ΑB				
	A-C				
	B-AC	0.00	0.00	0.0	
	C-AB	0.00	0.00	0.0	
3	C-A				
	ΑB				
	AC				

Demand (PCU/hr) Image: Townsymbol of the symbol of the symb

Demand (PCU/hr)

		То			
			Α	в	С
_	Farm	Α	0	0	0
	From	в	0	0	0
		с	0	0	0

Demand (PCU/hr)

Junction 3

	10			
		Α	в	С
From	Α	0	0	0
From	в	0	0	0
	С	0	0	0

То

Vehicle Mix

Junction 2

Junction 3

I	Heavy	Veh	icle	Per	cent	ages
		То				
lunction 1	From		Α	в	С	
Junction		Α	10	10	10	
		в	10	10	10	
		С	10	10	10	

Не	Heavy Vehicle Percentages					
			Α	В	С	
_		Α	10	10	10	
	rom	в	10	10	10	
		С	10	10	10	

Heavy Vehicle Percentages						
		То				
		Α	В	С		
-	Α	10	10	10		
From	в	10	10	10		
	С	10	10	10		

Max LOS
С
F
В
А
А
А
А



No errors or warnings

Junctions

Junction

1

2

F

А

Α



Junction 1

Junction 2

Junction 3

	Demar	nd (P	CU/ł	nr)			
		То					
			Α	в	С		
	From	Α	0	290	243		
		в	297	0	335		
		С	113	275	0		

From	Α	0	290	24
	в	297	0	33
	С	113	275	0

Demar	nd (P	°CU/	hr)
		Т	o

С 512

Demand (PCU/hr)						
		То				
			Α	В	С	

52

Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages То A B C Junction 1 **A** 10 10 10 From **B** 10 10 10 10 10 10 С

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

Junction 2

	То					
From		Α	в	С		
	Α	10	10	10		
	в	10	10	10		
	С	10	10	10		

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

Junction 3

	То						
		Α	В	С			
From	Α	10	10	10			
	в	10	10	10			
	С	10	10	10			

R617 Residential Entrance 3

Data Errors and Warnings

Junction Network

Name

R579 / R617 T Junction

R617 Retail Entrance

2039 With Dev, AM

Junction Network Options							
Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First arm reaching threshold				
Left	Normal/unknown	-26	Junction 1 - Stream B-A				

T-Junction

T-Junction

T-Junction

Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)
D13	2039 With Dev	AM	ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	15

Two-way

Two-way

Two-way

Junction type Major road direction Use circulating lanes Junction Delay (s) Junction LOS

156.42

0.40

1.69

Default vehicle mix Vehicle mix source PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) HV Percentages 2.00 ✓

Demand overview (Traffic)

	Junction	on Arm Linked arm		Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
		Α		✓	533	100.000
	1	в		✓	632	100.000
		С		✓	388	100.000
		Α		~	529	100.000
	2	в		✓	19	100.000
		С		~	594	100.000
		Α		~	532	100.000
	3	в		✓	108	100.000
		С		1	594	100.000

Demand overview (Pedestrians)

Junction	Arm	Average pedestrian flow (Ped/hr)
	Α	
1	в	20.00
	С	
	Α	
2	в	
	С	
	Α	
3	в	
	С	

Origin-Destination Data

Results



2039 With Dev, PM

Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

Junction Network

Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Major road direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	R579 / R617 T Junction	T-Junction	Two-way		82.89	F
2	R617 Retail Entrance	T-Junction	Two-way		2.67	A
3	R617 Residential Entrance	T-Junction	Two-way		1.07	А

Junction Network Options

Driving side Lighting		Network residual capacity (%)	First arm reachir
Left	Normal/unknown	-23	Junction 1 - St

Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)
D14	2039 With Dev	PM	ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	15

Default vehicle mix	Vehicle mix source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)	
~	HV Percentages	2.00	

Demand overview (Traffic)

Junction	Arm	Linked arm	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
	Α		✓	379	100.000
1	в		√	452	100.000
	С		✓	587	100.000
	Α		✓	730	100.000
2	в		√	134	100.000
	С		✓	436	100.000
	Α		✓	711	100.000
3	в		✓	58	100.000
	С		√	423	100.000

Demand overview (Pedestrians)

Junction	Arm	Average pedestrian flow (Ped/hr)
	Α	
1	в	18.00
	С	
	A	
2	в	
	С	
	Α	
3	в	
	С	

Origin-Destination Data

Results Summary for whole modelled period

		<u> </u>			
Junction	Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
	B-C	1.21	373.40	37.2	F
	B-A	1.20	377.00	33.2	F
	C-AB	0.63	17.56	2.1	С
	C-A				
	A-B				
	A-C				
	B-AC	0.06	12.19	0.1	В
	C-AB	0.06	4.84	0.1	А
2	C-A				
	A-B				
	A-C				
	B-AC	0.34	17.36	0.6	С
	C-AB	0.06	4.78	0.1	А
3	C-A				
	A-B				
	A-C				

ng threshold Stream B-A



Junction 2



Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

			<u> </u>		
Junction	Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	
	B-C	1.09	265.11	11.1	
	B-A	1.08	216.52	20.7	
	C-AB	0.79	25.25	4.8	
1	C-A				
	A-B				
	AC				
	B-AC	0.44	21.03	0.8	
	C-AB	0.17	6.27	0.5	
2	C-A				
	ΑB				
	AC				
	B-AC	0.19	14.22	0.2	
	C-AB	0.12	5.85	0.3	
3	C-A				
	ΑB				
	AC				

Demand (PCU/hr) Junction 1 From A B C A 0 298 81 B 308 0 144 C 247 340 0

Demand (PCU/hr)

		o		
		Α	в	С
F	Α	0	73	657
From	в	56	0	78
	С	384	52	0

Demand (PCU/hr)

То

Junction 3

			•	
		Α	в	С
From	Α	0	54	657
From	в	24	0	34
	С	384	39	0

Vehicle Mix

Junction 2

Junction 3

	Heavy	Veh	icle	Per	cent	ages
			T	б		
lunction 1			Α	В	С	
Junction	-	Α	10	10	10	
	From	в	10	10	10	
		С	10	10	10	

1	Heavy	Veh	icle	Per	cent	ages
			Т	o		
			Α	В	С	
		Α	10	10	10	
	From	в	10	10	10	
		С	10	10	10	

Heavy	Veh	icle	Per	cent	ages
		Т	o		
		Α	В	С	
-	Α	10	10	10	
From	в	10	10	10	
	С	10	10	10	

Max LOS
F
F
D
С
А
В
А

User and Project Details

Project:	Cloghroe
Title:	Cloghroe Residential
Location:	
Client:	BMOR
Additional detail:	
File name:	Cloghroe Junction 40% passby.ls
Author:	Ken Manley
Company:	
Address:	

Scenario 1: 'AM 2021' (FG1: '2021 AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Network Layout Diagram



APPENDIX B: TRAFFIC MODEL OUTPUTS – LINSIG



ltem	Lane Description	Lane Type	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network: Cloghroe Residential		•	ı			ı	'			•	49.7%	1111	0	0	1.0	•	
Cloghroe Priority Junction		,				ı	'				49.7%	1111	0	0	1.0		
1/1	R579 West Left Ahead		ı			ı	,	407	1764	1764	23.1%	ı		1	0.1	1.3	0.1
2/2+2/1	R617 Tower Right Left	0			ı			453	1741:1613	467+625	45.7 : 38.4%	906	0	0	0.4	2.8	0.4
3/1	R579 East Ahead Right	0			ı			294	1820	591	49.7%	205	0	0	0.5	6.0	0.5
		Ó	-	PRC fe PR	or Signalled C Over All L	Lanes (%): anes (%):	: 0.0 81.0	To	tal Delay for Sig Total Delay O	nalled Lanes (ver All Lanes(pcuHr): pcuHr):	0.00 1.00	Cycle Time (s):	4			

Basic Results Summary Scenario 2: 'PM 2021' (FG2: '2021 PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Network Layout Diagram



ltem	Lane Description	Lane Type	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network: Cloghroe Residential			1	· <u> </u>	ı		I			,	54.3%	827	0	0	0.9	•	
Cloghroe Priority Junction		,	1		ı	ı	I		,		54.3%	827	0	0	0.9	•	ı
1/1	R579 West Left Ahead	D	ı		ı	1	I	258	1700	1700	15.2%	,	ı	1	0.1	1.2	0.1
2/2+2/1	R617 Tower Right Left	0	ı		ı	ı	ı	302	1741:1613	472+540	43.7 : 17.8%	604	0	0	0.2	2.5	0.2
3/1	R579 East Ahead Right	0	1		1	ı	I	417	1841	768	54.3%	223	0	0	0.6	5.1	0.6
		ö	.	PRC fr PR	or Signalled C Over All L	Lanes (%): anes (%):	0.0 65.7	To	tal Delay for Sigi Total Delay O	nalled Lanes (ver All Lanes(pcuHr): pcuHr):	0.00 0.89	Cycle Time (s):	۲			

Basic Results Summary Scenario 3: 'AM 2024 No Dev' (FG3: '2024 AM No Dev', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Network Layout Diagram





ltem	Lane Description	Lane Type	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network: Cloghroe Residential		,	1				I		,		54.2%	1189	0	0	1.2	•	ı
Cloghroe Priority Junction		,	,				I		,		54.2%	1189	0	0	1.2		ı
1/1	R579 West Left Ahead	D	1			ı	I	435	1764	1764	24.7%	,		ı	0.2	1.4	0.2
2/2+2/1	R617 Tower Right Left	0	1			ı	ı	485	1741:1613	457+619	49.8 : 41.5%	670	0	0	0.4	3.0	0.4
3/1	R579 East Ahead Right	0					ı	314	1820	580	54.2%	219	0	0	0.6	6.7	0.6
		ò	-	PRC fc PR(or Signalled C Over All Lá	Lanes (%): anes (%):	0.0 66.2	To	tal Delay for Sigi Total Delay O	nalled Lanes (ver All Lanes((pcuHr); pcuHr);	0.00 1.16	Cycle Time (s):	£			

Basic Results Summary Scenario 4: 'PM 2024 No Dev' (FG4: '2024 PM No Dev', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Network Layout Diagram





ltem	Lane Description	Lane Type	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network: Cloghroe Residential		,	ı			1	'		,		58.8%	887	0	0	1.0	•	ı
Cloghroe Priority Junction		,			ı		'		,		58.8%	887	0	0	1.0	•	ı
1/1	R579 West Left Ahead	⊃				ı	1	277	1701	1701	16.3%	1	1		0.1	1.3	0.1
2/2+2/1	R617 Tower Right Left	0					1	324	1741:1613	462+540	47.8 : 19.1%	648	0	0	0.2	2.7	0.2
3/1	R579 East Ahead Right	0					'	447	1841	760	58.8%	239	0	0	0.7	5.7	0.7
		ò	-	PRC fc PR(or Signalled C Over All L	Lanes (%): anes (%):	: 0.0 53.0	To	tal Delay for Sig Total Delay O	nalled Lanes (ver All Lanes((pcuHr): pcuHr):	0.00 1.05	Cycle Time (s):	F			

Basic Results Summary Scenario 5: 'AM 2024 With Dev' (FG5: '2024 AM With Dev', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Network Layout Diagram



ltem	Lane Description	Lane Type	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network: Cloghroe Residential		,	1				I		,		58.2%	1316	0	0	1.4	•	ı
Cloghroe Priority Junction		,	,				I		,		58.2%	1316	0	0	1.4		
1/1	R579 West Left Ahead	D	1			ı	I	451	1759	1759	25.6%	ı	•	•	0.2	1.4	0.2
2/2+2/1	R617 Tower Right Left	0	1			ı	ı	541	1741:1613	452+616	56.2 : 46.6%	1082	0	0	0.5	3.4	0.5
3/1	R579 East Ahead Right	0					ı	329	1818	566	58.2%	234	0	0	0.7	7.6	0.7
		ò	5	PRC fc PR(or Signalled C Over All Lá	Lanes (%): anes (%):	0.0 54.7	To	tal Delay for Sigi Total Delay O	nalled Lanes (ver All Lanes((pcuHr); pcuHr);	0.00 1.38	Cycle Time (s):	F			

Basic Results Summary Scenario 6: 'PM 2024 With Dev' (FG6: '2024 PM With Dev', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Network Layout Diagram



ltem	Lane Description	Lane Type	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network: Cloghroe Residential			1				I		,		72.4%	1080	0	0	1.7	•	ı
Cloghroe Priority Junction			,				I		,		72.4%	1080	0	o	1.7		
1/1	R579 West Left Ahead	С	1			ı	I	328	1690	1690	19.4%	ı	1		0.1	1.3	0.1
2/2+2/1	R617 Tower Right Left	0	1			ı	ı	392	1741:1613	442+541	60.5 : 23.1%	784	0	0	0.3	3.0	0.3
3/1	R579 East Ahead Right	0					ı	504	1834	696	72.4%	296	0	0	1.3	9.2	1.3
		ö	-	PRC fc PR(or Signalled C Over All Li	Lanes (%): anes (%):	0.0 24.3	To	tal Delay for Sigi Total Delay O	nalled Lanes (ver All Lanes((pcuHr): pcuHr):	0.00 1.74	Cycle Time (s):	£			

Basic Results Summary Scenario 7: 'AM 2029 No Dev' (FG7: '2029 AM No Dev', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Network Layout Diagram





ltem	Lane Description	Lane Type	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network: Cloghroe Residential			ı		ı	ı	I		,		60.8%	1295	0	0	1.5	•	
Cloghroe Priority Junction					ı	I	I		,		60.8%	1295	0	0	1.5		
1/1	R579 West Left Ahead	D	ı		1	ı	I	475	1764	1764	26.9%	ı	ı	I	0.2	1.4	0.2
2/2+2/1	R617 Tower Right Left	0	·		ı		ı	528	1741:1613	444+610	55.8 : 45.9%	1056	0	0	0.5	3.4	0.5
3/1	R579 East Ahead Right	0			ı	ı	ı	343	1820	564	60.8%	239	0	0	0.8	8.1	0.8
		õ	-	PRC fr PR	or Signalled C Over All L	Lanes (%): anes (%):	0.0 47.9	To	tal Delay for Sig Total Delay O	nalled Lanes (ver All Lanes((pcuHr): pcuHr):	0.00 1.46	Cycle Time (s):	Ł			

Basic Results Summary Scenario 8: 'PM 2029 No Dev' (FG8: '2029 PM No Dev', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Network Layout Diagram





	0.00																
ltem	Lane Description	Lane Type	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network: Cloghroe Residential			ı			ı	1			•	64.9%	964	0	0	1.3	•	
Cloghroe Priority Junction						ı	1				64.9%	964	o	0	1.3	•	
1/1	R579 West Left Ahead	Ъ	ı		1	I	1	301	1701	1701	17.7%	ı	1		0.1	1.3	0.1
2/2+2/1	R617 Tower Right Left	0			ı	ı	ı	352	1741:1613	450+540	53.3 : 20.7%	704	0	0	0.3	2.8	0.3
3/1	R579 East Ahead Right	0			ı		1	486	1841	749	64.9%	260	0	0	0.9	6.8	0.9
		ò	-	PRC fi	or Signalled C Over All Li	Lanes (%): anes (%):	0.0 38.8	То	tal Delay for Sig Total Delay O	nalled Lanes (ver All Lanes((pcuHr); pcuHr);	0.00 1.30	Cycle Time (s):	-			

Basic Results Summary Scenario 9: 'AM 2029 With Dev' (FG9: '2029 AM With Dev', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Network Layout Diagram



							ĺ						-				I
Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network: Cloghroe Residential			ı		1	'	'		,		65.1%	1422	0	0	1.7	•	
Cloghroe Priority Junction					ı	,	,		,		65.1%	1422	0	0	1.7		
1/1	R579 West Left Ahead	D	ı		ı	ı	1	491	1760	1760	27.9%	1	ı	I	0.2	1.4	0.2
2/2+2/1	R617 Tower Right Left	0	ı		ı	,	,	584	1741:1613	438+607	62.5 : 51.1%	1168	0	0	0.6	3.9	0.6
3/1	R579 East Ahead Right	0	ı		ı	'	ı	358	1818	550	65.1%	254	0	0	0.9	9.3	0.9
		G	-	PRC fr PR	or Signalled C Over All L	Lanes (%): anes (%):	0.0 38.3	To	tal Delay for Sig Total Delay O	nalled Lanes (ver All Lanes((pcuHr): (pcuHr):	0.00 1.75	Cycle Time (s):	٢			

Basic Results Summary Scenario 10: 'PM 2029 With Dev' (FG10: '2029 PM With Dev', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Network Layout Diagram



	0000																
ltem	Lane Description	Lane Type	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network: Cloghroe Residential			ı			ı	I		,		78.8%	1157	0	0	2.3	•	
Cloghroe Priority Junction						ı	I		,		78.8%	1157	0	0	2.3	•	
1/1	R579 West Left Ahead	Ъ	ı		1	I	I	352	1691	1691	20.8%	ı	1	1	0.1	1.3	0.1
2/2+2/1	R617 Tower Right Left	0	·		ı	ı	ı	420	1741:1613	430+542	66.6 : 24.7%	840	0	0	0.4	3.3	0.4
3/1	R579 East Ahead Right	0			ı		ı	543	1835	689	78.8%	317	0	0	1.8	12.0	1.8
		ò	-	PRC f	or Signalled C Over All L	Lanes (%): anes (%):	0.0 14.2	To	tal Delay for Sig Total Delay O	nalled Lanes (ver All Lanes((pcuHr); pcuHr);	0.00 2.33	Cycle Time (s):	۲			

Basic Results Summary Scenario 11: 'AM 2039 No Dev' (FG11: '2039 AM No Dev', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Network Layout Diagram





ltem	Lane Description	Lane Type	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network: Cloghroe Residential		,	1		1				,		68.3%	1412	o	o	1.9		ı
Cloghroe Priority Junction		,			,		1		,		68.3%	1412	0	0	1.9		
1/1	R579 West Left Ahead				1		1	517	1765	1765	29.3%	ı		1	0.2	1.4	0.2
2/2+2/1	R617 Tower Right Left	0			ı			576	1741:1613	431+601	62.9 : 50.7%	1152	0	0	0.6	3.9	0.6
3/1	R579 East Ahead Right	0			ı		·	373	1820	546	68.3%	260	0	0	1.1	10.3	1.1
		ò	-	PRC fr PR	or Signalled C Over All L	Lanes (%): anes (%):	0.0 31.8	To	tal Delay for Sig Total Delay O	nalled Lanes (ver All Lanes((pcuHr): pcuHr):	0.00 1.90	Cycle Time (s):	۲			

Basic Results Summary Scenario 12: 'PM 2039 No Dev' (FG12: '2039 PM No Dev', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Network Layout Diagram





ltem	Lane Description	Lane Type	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network: Cloghroe Residential		'	I		1	ı	,		,		71.8%	1051	0	0	1.7		ı
Cloghroe Priority Junction			ı		,		1		,		71.8%	1051	0	0	1.7		
1/1	R579 West Left Ahead				1	,	1	328	1700	1700	19.3%	I	1		0.1	1.3	0.1
2/2+2/1	R617 Tower Right Left	0			ı		ı	384	1741:1613	437+540	60.0 : 22.6%	768	0	0	0.3	3.0	0.3
3/1	R579 East Ahead Right	0			ı		1	530	1841	738	71.8%	283	0	0	1.3	8.5	1.3
		ö	-	PRC fr PR	or Signalled C Over All L	Lanes (%): anes (%):	0.0 25.4	To	tal Delay for Sigi Total Delay O	nalled Lanes (ver All Lanes((pcuHr): pcuHr):	0.00 1.70	Cycle Time (s):	۲			

Basic Results Summary Scenario 13: 'AM 2039 With Dev' (FG13: '2039 AM With Dev', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Network Layout Diagram



Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network: Cloghroe Residential			1			ı	ı		,		72.8%	1539	0	0	2.3	•	ı
Cloghroe Priority Junction			,				ı		,		72.8%	1539	0	0	2.3		ı
1/1	R579 West Left Ahead	С	1			ı	I	533	1760	1760	30.3%	,		ı	0.2	1.5	0.2
2/2+2/1	R617 Tower Right Left	0	1			ı	I	632	1741:1613	425+598	69.9 : 56.0%	1264	0	0	0.8	4.6	0.8
3/1	R579 East Ahead Right	0					ı	388	1818	533	72.8%	275	0	0	1.3	12.2	1.3
		ö	-	PRC fc PR(or Signalled C Over All Li	Lanes (%): anes (%):	0.0 23.7	To	tal Delay for Sigi Total Delay O	nalled Lanes (ver All Lanes((pcuHr): pcuHr):	0.00 2.34	Cycle Time (s):	£			

Basic Results Summary Scenario 14: 'PM 2039 With Dev' (FG14: '2039 PM With Dev', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Network Layout Diagram



ic Results Summary	work Results
Basic F	Natwo

INCOMOLIN INC	20110																
Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network: Cloghroe Residential			ı		ı				•		86.2%	1244	0	0	4.5	•	
Cloghroe Priority Junction		,			ı				·		86.2%	1244	0	0	4.5		
1/1	R579 West Left Ahead	D	ı		ı	ı		379	1691	1691	22.4%	ı	1		0.1	1.4	0.1
2/2+2/1	R617 Tower Right Left	0			ı			452	1741:1613	416+195	74.0 : 74.0%	904	0	0	1.4	11.1	1.4
3/1	R579 East Ahead Right	0					-	587	1835	681	86.2%	340	0	0	2.9	18.1	2.9
		Ö	_	PRC f	or Signalled C Over All L	Lanes (%): .anes (%):	0.0 4.3	То	tal Delay for Sigi Total Delay O	nalled Lanes (ver All Lanes(pcuHr): pcuHr):	0.00 4.49	Cycle Time (s):	60			





APPENDIX C: TRICS

	ciates Lt	d Douglas Road Cork		Licence No: 761701
TRI	P RATE	CALCULATION SELECTION PARAMETER	Calculation Referenc S:	e: AUDIT-761701-210614-0615
Lanc Cate TO	d Use egory TAL VE	: 03 - RESIDENTIAL : A - HOUSES PRIVATELY OWNED EHICLES		
Sele	ected rec	gions and areas:		
12	CONN	IAUGHT		
	CS	SLIGO	2 days	
	LT	LEITRIM	2 days	
	RO	ROSCOMMON	2 days	
13	MUNS	STER		
	WA	WATERFORD	1 days	
11	LEIN	STER	-	
14	CC	CARLOW	1 days	
14		WICKLOW	2 days	
14	WC			
14	WC GREA	TER DUBLIN		
15	WC GREA DL	TER DUBLIN DUBLIN	1 days	
15	WC GREA DL ULST	ITER DUBLIN DUBLIN ER (REPUBLIC OF IRELAND)	1 days	
15 16	WC GREA DL ULST CV	ITER DUBLIN DUBLIN ER (REPUBLIC OF IRELAND) CAVAN	1 days 2 davs	

Primary Filtering selection:

Wednesday

Thursday

Friday

This data displays the chosen trip rate parameter and its selected range. Only sites that fall within the parameter range are included in the trip rate calculation.

Parameter: Actual Range: Range Selected by User:	No of Dwellings 6 to 280 (units:) 4 to 437 (units:)
Parking Spaces Range:	All Surveys Included
Parking Spaces per Dwellin	g Range: All Surveys Included
Bedrooms per Dwelling Rar	nge: All Surveys Included
Percentage of dwellings pri	vately owned: All Surveys Included
Public Transport Provision: Selection by:	Include all surveys
Date Range: 01/01,	/13 to 30/09/20
This data displays the rang included in the trip rate cal	re of survey dates selected. Only surveys that were conducted within this date range are lculation.
Selected survey days:	
Monday	6 days
Tuesday	1 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys by day of the week

<u>Selected survey types:</u>	
Manual count	19 days
Directional ATC Count	0 days

This data displays the number of manual classified surveys and the number of unclassified ATC surveys, the total adding up to the overall number of surveys in the selected set. Manual surveys are undertaken using staff, whilst ATC surveys are undertaking using machines.

13 2 Λ

6 days

3 days

3 days

Selected Locations:	
Edge of Town Centre	3
Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)	4
Edge of Town	10
Neighbourhood Centre (PPS6 Local Centre)	2

This data displays the number of surveys per main location category within the selected set. The main location categories consist of Free Standing, Edge of Town, Suburban Area, Neighbourhood Centre, Edge of Town Centre, Town Centre and Not Known.

Selected Location Sub Categories:	
Residential Zone	
Village	
No Sub Category	

Limited,	2021.	All rights reserved	Monda	y 14/06/21
				Page 2

Licence No: 761701

This data displays the number of surveys per location sub-category within the selected set. The location sub-categories consist of Commercial Zone, Industrial Zone, Development Zone, Residential Zone, Retail Zone, Built-Up Zone, Village,

This data displays the number of surveys per Use Class classification within the selected set. The Use Classes Order 2005 has been used for this purpose, which can be found within the Library module of TRICS®.

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 1-mile radii of population.

19 days

2 days

7 days

5 days

5 days

4 days 12 days

2 days

1 days

6 days 9 days

4 days

19 days

19 days

Yes

TRICS 7.8.1 020621 B20.17 Database right of TRICS Consortium

Out of Town, High Street and No Sub Category.

MHL & Associates Ltd Douglas Road Cork

Secondary Filtering selection:

Population within 500m Range:

All Surveys Included Population within 1 mile:

1,000 or Less

5,000 or Less

0.6 to 1.0

1.1 to 1.5 1.6 to 2.0

Travel Plan:

PTAL Rating: No PTAL Present

Covid-19 Restrictions

No

5,001 to 25,000

25,001 to 50,000

50,001 to 75,000

1,001 to 5,000

5,001 to 10,000

10,001 to 15,000

Population within 5 miles:

Car ownership within 5 miles:

within a radius of 5-miles of selected survey sites.

<u>*Use Class:*</u> C3

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 5-mile radii of population.

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated ranges of average cars owned per residential dwelling,

This data displays the number of surveys within the selected set that were undertaken at sites with Travel Plans in place, and the number of surveys that were undertaken at sites without Travel Plans.

This data displays the number of selected surveys with PTAL Ratings.

At least one survey within the selected data set was undertaken at a time of Covid-19 restrictions

RICS 7.8.	1 020621 B20.17 Database right of TRICS Consor	rtium Limited, 2021. All rights reserved	Monday 14/06/21 Page 3
/HL & Asso	ciates Ltd Douglas Road Cork		Licence No: 761701
<u></u>	T OF SITES relevant to selection parameters		
1	CC-03-A-01 DETACHED HOUSES R417 ANTHY ROAD CARLOW	CARLOW	
	Edge of Town Residential Zone		
	Total No of Dwellings: 23	3 5/05/16 Survey Type: MANUA	
2	CS-03-A-03 MIXED HOUSES TOP ROAD STRANDHILL STRANDHILL Neighbourbood Centre (PPS6 Local Centre)	SLIGO	
	Village		
	Total No of Dwellings: 30		
3	CS-03-A-04 DETACHED & SEMI-DETAC R292 STRANDHILL	CHED SLIGO	
	Neighbourhood Centre (PPS6 Local Centre)		
	Village Total No of Dwellings: 63	3	
	Survey date: THURSDAY 27/	7/10/16 Survey Type: MANUAL	
4	CV-03-A-02 DETACHED & SEMI DETAC R212 DUBLIN ROAD CAVAN KILLYNEBBER	CHED CAVAN	
	Edge of Town		
	No Sub Category Total No of Dwellings: 80	0	
	Survey date: MONDAY 22/	2/05/17 Survey Type: MANUAL	
5	CV-03-A-03 DETACHED HOUSES R212 DUBLIN ROAD CAVAN PUILLAMORE NEAR	CAVAN	
	Edge of Town		
	No Sub Category Total No of Dwellings: 37	7	
	Survey date: MONDAY 22/	, 2/05/17 Survey Type: MANUAL	
6	DL-03-A-10 SEMI DETACHED & DETAC	CHED DUBLIN	
	MALAHIDE		
	SAINT HELENS Edge of Town		
	Residential Zone		
	Total No of Dwellings: 65	5 2/04/18 Survey Turney MANUAL	
7	DN-03-A-03 DETACHED/SEMI-DETACH THE GRANGE	HED DONEGAL	
	GLENCAR IRISH Edge of Town Residential Zone		
	Total No of Dwellings: 50	0	
8	Survey date: MONDAY 01, DN-03-A-04 SEMI-DETACHED	1/09/14 Survey Type: MANUAL	
0	GORTLEE ROAD LETTERKENNY GORTLEE Edge of Town	DONLOAL	
	Residential Zone		
	Total No of Dwellings: 83	3 S/DQ/1A SURVOU TURON MAANUAL	
8	Survey date: MUNUAY01/DN-03-A-04SEMI -DETACHEDGORTLEE ROADLETTERKENNYGORTLEEEdge of TownResidential ZoneTotal No of Dwellings:Survey date: FRIDAY26/	3 5/09/14 Survey Type: MANUAL DONEGAL 3 5/09/14 Survey Type: MANUAL	

MHL & Associ	ates Ltd Douglas R	oad Cork	
LIST	OF SITES relevant to	selection parameters (Co.	<u>nt.)</u>
9	DN-03-A-05 GORTLEE ROAD LETTERKENNY GORTLEE Suburban Area (PPS)	DETACHED/SEMI -DET 6 Out of Centre)	ACHED
10	Total No of Dwellings Survey date: DN-03-A-06 GLENFIN ROAD BALLYBOFEY	s: <i>WEDNESDAY</i> DETACHED HOUSING	146 <i>03/09/14</i>
11	Edge of Town Residential Zone Total No of Dwellings <i>Survey date:</i> DN-03-A-07 ST ORANS ROAD BUNCRANA	s: <i>WEDNESDAY</i> DETACHED & SEMI -DE	6 <i>10/10/18</i> TACHED
12	Edge of Town Centre Residential Zone Total No of Dwellings <i>Survey date:</i> DN-03-A-08 CHURCH ROAD CARNDONAGH	s: <i>WEDNESDAY</i> SEMI DETACHED & DE	9 <i>29/05/19</i> TACHED
13	Suburban Area (PPS) Residential Zone Total No of Dwellings <i>Survey date:</i> LT-03-A-01 ARD NA SI CARRICK-ON-SHANN	6 Out of Centre) s: <i>WEDNESDAY</i> SEMI -DETACHED & DE ION	36 <i>30/09/20</i> TACHED
14	ATTIRORY Suburban Area (PPS Residential Zone Total No of Dwellings <i>Survey date:</i> LT-03-A-02 ARD ÁLAINN CARRICK-ON-SHANN GALLOW'S HILL Edge of Town Centre Residential Zone Total No of Dwellings	6 Out of Centre) S: <i>FRIDAY</i> BUNGALOWS	90 <i>24/04/15</i> 10
15	RO-03-A-03 N61 BOYLE GREATMEADOW Edge of Town No Sub Category Total No of Dwellings	MONDAY DETACHED HOUSES	22/05/17
16	Survey date: RO-03-A-04 EAGLE COURT ROSCOMMON ARDNANAGH Suburban Area (PPS) Residential Zone Total No of Dwellings Survey date:	<i>THURSDAY</i> SEMI DET. & BUNGALO 6 Out of Centre) S: <i>FRIDAY</i>	25/09/14 DWS 39 26/09/14

TRICS 7.8.1	020621 B20.17	Database right of TRICS Consortium Limited	, 2021. All rights reserved

DONEGAL

Survey Type: MANUAL DONEGAL

Survey Type: MANUAL DONEGAL

Survey Type: MANUAL DONEGAL

Survey Type: MANUAL LEITRIM

Survey Type: MANUAL LEITRIM

Survey Type: MANUAL ROSCOMMON

Survey Type: MANUAL ROSCOMMON

Survey Type: MANUAL

TRICS 7.8.1	020621 B20.17 Da	tabase right of TRICS Co	nsortium Limited, 2021	. All rights reserved	Monday	14/06/21 Page 5
MHL & Associ	ates Ltd Douglas Ro	oad Cork			Licence	No: 761701
<u>LIST</u>	OF SITES relevant to s	selection parameters (Co	o <u>nt.)</u>			
17	WA-03-A-04 MAYPARK LANE WATERFORD	DETACHED		WATERFORD		
10	Edge of Town Residential Zone Total No of Dwellings <i>Survey date:</i>	: TUESDAY	280 <i>24/06/14</i>	Survey Type: MANUAL		
10	WC-US-A-UT STATION ROAD WICKLOW CORPORATION MURR Edge of Town No Sub Category	RAGH		WICKLOW		
	Total No of Dwellings	: ΜΩΝΓΩΔΥ	50 28/05/18	SURVEY TYPE MANUAL		
19	WC-03-A-02 MARLTON ROAD WICKLOW FRIARSHILL Edge of Town Centre Residential Zone	DETACHED HOUSES	20,00,10	WICKLOW		
	Total No of Dwellings Survey date:	: MONDAY	45 <i>28/05/18</i>	Survey Type: MANUAL		

This section provides a list of all survey sites and days in the selected set. For each individual survey site, it displays a unique site reference code and site address, the selected trip rate calculation parameter and its value, the day of the week and date of each survey, and whether the survey was a manual classified count or an ATC count.

RICS 7.8.1 020621 B20.17	Database right of TRICS Consortium Limited, 2021. All rights reserved	

MHL & Associates Ltd Douglas Road Cork

TRIP RATE for Land Use 03 - RESIDENTIAL/A - HOUSES PRIVATELY OWNED TOTAL VEHICLES Calculation factor: 1 DWELLS

BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period

ARRIVALS No. Trip No. Ave. DWELLS Time Range Days Rate Days 00:00 - 01:00 01:00 - 02:00 02:00 - 03:00 03:00 - 04:00 04:00 - 05:00 05:00 - 06:00 06:00 - 07:00 07:00 - 08:00 19 0.050 61 19 08:00 - 09:00 19 61 0.170 19 19 19 09:00 - 10:00 0.239 61 10:00 - 11:00 19 61 0.173 19 11:00 - 12:00 19 61 0.179 19 19 19 12:00 - 13:00 61 0.259 13:00 - 14:00 19 19 61 0.285 14:00 - 15:00 19 61 0.318 19 15:00 - 16:00 19 61 0.361 19 16:00 - 17:00 19 0.341 19 61 17:00 - 18:00 19 19 61 0.454 18:00 - 19:00 19 19 61 0.361 19:00 - 20:00 20:00 - 21:00 21:00 - 22:00 22:00 - 23:00 23:00 - 24:00 3.190 Total Rates:

This section displays the trip rate results based on the selected set of surveys and the selected count type (shown just above the table). It is split by three main columns, representing arrivals trips, departures trips, and total trips (arrivals plus departures). Within each of these main columns are three sub-columns. These display the number of survey days where count data is included (per time period), the average value of the selected trip rate calculation parameter (per time period), and the trip rate result (per time period). Total trip rates (the sum of the column) are also displayed at the foot of the table.

To obtain a trip rate, the average (mean) trip rate parameter value (TRP) is first calculated for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. The average (mean) number of arrivals, departures or totals (whichever applies) is also calculated (COUNT) for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. Then, the average count is divided by the average trip rate parameter value, and multiplied by the stated calculation factor (shown just above the table and abbreviated here as FACT). So, the method is: COUNT/TRP*FACT. Trip rates are then rounded to 3 decimal places.

The survey data, graphs and all associated supporting information, contained within the TRICS Database are published by TRICS Consortium Limited ("the Company") and the Company claims copyright and database rights in this published work. The Company authorises those who possess a current TRICS licence to access the TRICS Database and copy the data contained within the TRICS Database for the licence holders' use only. Any resulting copy must retain all copyrights and other proprietary notices, and any disclaimer contained thereon.

The Company accepts no responsibility for loss which may arise from reliance on data contained in the TRICS Database. [No warranty of any kind, express or implied, is made as to the data contained in the TRICS Database.]

Parameter summary

Trip rate parameter range selected:	6 - 280 (u
Survey date date range:	01/01/13
Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday):	19
Number of Saturdays:	0
Number of Sundays:	0
Surveys automatically removed from selection:	1
Surveys manually removed from selection:	0

This section displays a quick summary of some of the data filtering selections made by the TRICS® user. The trip rate calculation parameter range of all selected surveys is displayed first, followed by the range of minimum and maximum survey dates selected by the user. Then, the total number of selected weekdays and weekend days in the selected set of surveys are show. Finally, the number of survey days that have been manually removed from the selected set outside of the standard filtering procedure are displayed.

DEPARTURES		TOTALS		
Ave.	Trip	No.	Ave.	Trip
DWELLS	Rate	Days	DWELLS	Rate
61	0.182	19	61	0.232
61	0.532	19	61	0.702
61	0.278	19	61	0.517
61	0.200	19	61	0.373
61	0.223	19	61	0.402
61	0.260	19	61	0.519
61	0.276	19	61	0.561
61	0.318	19	61	0.636
61	0.280	19	61	0.641
61	0.235	19	61	0.576
61	0.276	19	61	0.730
61	0.284	19	61	0.645
	3.344			6.534

units:) - 30/09/20

TRICS 7.8.1 020621 B20	0.17 Databas	e right of TRICS Consortium Limited, 2021. All rights reserved	Monday 14/06/21
Creche			Page 1
MHL & Associates Ltd Do	ouglas Road	Cork	Licence No: 761701

Calculation Reference: AUDIT-761701-210614-0651

TRIP RATE CALCULATION SELECTION PARAMETERS:

Land Use	:	04 - EDUCATION
Category	:	D - NURSERY
TOTAL VE	HI	CLES

Sele	cted regions and areas:	
04	EAST ANGLIA	
	SF SUFFOLK	1 days
05	EAST MIDLANDS	
	LN LINCOLNSHIRE	1 days
06	WEST MIDLANDS	
	SH SHROPSHIRE	1 days
	WK WARWICKSHIRE	1 days
09	NORTH	
	TV TEES VALLEY	1 days
10	WALES	
	BG BRIDGEND	1 days
11	SCOTLAND	
	DU DUNDEE CITY	1 days
	SR STIRLING	1 days
12	CONNAUGHT	
	RO ROSCOMMON	2 days

This section displays the number of survey days per TRICS® sub-region in the selected set

Primary Filtering selection:

This data displays the chosen trip rate parameter and its selected range. Only sites that fall within the parameter range are included in the trip rate calculation.

Parameter:	Gross floor area
Actual Range:	150 to 750 (units: sqm)
Range Selected by User:	109 to 2350 (units: sqm)

Parking Spaces Range: All Surveys Included

Public Transport Provision: Selection by:

Include all surveys

01/01/13 to 27/09/19 Date Range:

This data displays the range of survey dates selected. Only surveys that were conducted within this date range are included in the trip rate calculation.

Selected survey days:

3 days
1 days
2 days
4 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys by day of the week.

Selected survey types: Manual count Directional ATC Count

10 days 0 days

This data displays the number of manual classified surveys and the number of unclassified ATC surveys, the total adding up to the overall number of surveys in the selected set. Manual surveys are undertaken using staff, whilst ATC surveys are undertaking using machines.

> 3 2 5

> 1 8 1

Selected Locations:	
Edge of Town Centre	
Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)	
Edge of Town	

This data displays the number of surveys per main location category within the selected set. The main location categories consist of Free Standing, Edge of Town, Suburban Area, Neighbourhood Centre, Edge of Town Centre, Town Centre and Not Known.

Selected Location Sub Categories:	
Industrial Zone	
Residential Zone	
No Sub Category	

This data displays the number of surveys per location sub-category within the selected set. The location sub-categories consist of Commercial Zone, Industrial Zone, Development Zone, Residential Zone, Retail Zone, Built-Up Zone, Village, Out of Town, High Street and No Sub Category.

TRICS 7.8.1 020621 B20.17 Database right of TRICS Consortium Limited, 2021. All rights reserved	Monday 14/06/21
Creche	Page 2
MHL & Associates Ltd Douglas Road Cork	Licence No: 761701
Secondary Filtering selection:	
<u>Use Class:</u>	
E(f) 10 days	
This data displays the number of surveys per Use Class classification within the selected set. The U	lse Classes Order 2005

Population within 500m Range:	
All Surveys Included	
Population within 1 mile:	
1,001 to 5,000	2 days
5,001 to 10,000	3 days
10,001 to 15,000	1 days
15,001 to 20,000	3 days
20,001 to 25,000	1 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 1-mile radii of population.

Population within 5 miles:	
5,001 to 25,000	2 days
50,001 to 75,000	1 days
75,001 to 100,000	5 days
125,001 to 250,000	2 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 5-mile radii of population.

Car ownership within 5 miles:	
0.5 or Less	1 days
0.6 to 1.0	3 days
1.1 to 1.5	6 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated ranges of average cars owned per residential dwelling, within a radius of 5-miles of selected survey sites.

Travel Plan:	
No	10 days

This data displays the number of surveys within the selected set that were undertaken at sites with Travel Plans in place, and the number of surveys that were undertaken at sites without Travel Plans.

PTAL Rating:	
Io PTAL Present	10 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys with PTAL Ratings.

has been used for this purpose, which can be found within the Library module of TRICS®.

Assoc	ciates Ltd Douglas Road Cork			Licence No: 76170
<u>LIST</u>	T OF SITES relevant to selection parameters			
1	BG-04-D-01 NURSERY GEORGE STREET BRIDGEND BRIDGEND IND. ESTATE Edge of Town		BRIDGEND	
2	Total Gross floor area: Survey date: MONDAY DU 04 D 01 NUDSERY	210 sqm <i>13/10/14</i>	Survey Type: MANUAL	
Z	LONGTOWN TERRACE DUNDEE		DUNDEE CITY	
	Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre) Residential Zone Total Gross floor area:	325 sam		
3	Survey date: MONDAY LN-04-D-01 NURSERY NEWARK ROAD LINCOLN	24/04/17	<i>Survey Type: MANUAL</i> LINCOLNSHIRE	
	SWALLOW BECK Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre) Residential Zone Total Gross floor area: Survey date: TUESDAY	600 sqm <i>31/10/17</i>	Survey Type: MANIIAI	
4	RO-04-D-01 NURSERY PARK VIEW ROSCOMMON CRUBY HILL Edge of Town Residential Zone		ROSCOMMÓN	
5	Total Gross floor area: Survey date: FRIDAY RO-04-D-02 NURSERY CIRCULAR ROAD ROSCOMMON BALLYPHEASAN Edgo of Town Contro	500 sqm <i>26/09/14</i>	<i>Survey Type: MANUAL</i> ROSCOMMON	
6	Residential Zone Total Gross floor area: Survey date: FRIDAY SF-04-D-03 NURSERY CAMP ROAD	509 sqm <i>27/04/18</i>	<i>Survey Type: MANUAL</i> SUFFOLK	
	Edge of Town Centre Residential Zone Total Gross floor area:	750 sqm		
7	SH-04-D-01 NURSERY OLD COLEHAM SHREWSBURY	10/12/14	SHROPSHIRE	
8	Edge of Town Centre Residential Zone Total Gross floor area: Survey date: WEDNESDAY SR-04-D-01 NURSERY	326 sqm <i>28/05/14</i>	<i>Survey Type: MANUAL</i> STIRLING	
	HENDERSON STREET STIRLING BRIDGE OF ALLAN Edge of Town No Sub Category	250		
9	TV-04-D-01 NURSERY COTSWOLD DRIVE REDCAR	250 Sqiff 16/06/14	<i>Survey Type: MANUAL</i> TEES VALLEY	
	Edge of Town Residential Zone			
	Total Gross floor area:	150 sqm	Survey Τυρο: ΜΑΝΠΑΙ	

WARWICKSHIRE

340 sqm *29/06/18*

Creche

MHL & Associates Ltd Douglas Road Cork

10 WK-04-D-01 NUF THE RIDGEWAY STRATFORD UPON AVON

Total Gross floor area:

Edge of Town Residential Zone

LIST OF SITES relevant to selection parameters (Cont.)

Survey date: FRIDAY

NURSERY

Survey Type: MANUAL

This section provides a list of all survey sites and days in the selected set. For each individual survey site, it displays a unique site reference code and site address, the selected trip rate calculation parameter and its value, the day of the week and date of each survey, and whether the survey was a manual classified count or an ATC count.

TRICS 7.8.1 020621 B20.17	Database right of TRICS Consortium Limited, 2021. All rights reserved	Monday 14/06/21
Creche		Page 5
MHL & Associates Ltd Dougla	s Road Cork	Licence No: 761701

TRIP RATE for Land Use 04 - EDUCATION/D - NURSERY TOTAL VEHICLES Calculation factor: 100 sqm BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period

		ARRIVALS		DEPARTURES		TOTALS			
	No.	Ave.	Trip	No.	Ave.	Trip	No.	Ave.	Trip
Time Range	Days	GFA	Rate	Days	GFA	Rate	Days	GFA	Rate
00:00 - 01:00									
01:00 - 02:00									
02:00 - 03:00									
03:00 - 04:00									
04:00 - 05:00									
05:00 - 06:00									
06:00 - 07:00									
07:00 - 08:00	10	396	1.338	10	396	0.530	10	396	1.868
08:00 - 09:00	10	396	4.596	10	396	3.182	10	396	7.778
09:00 - 10:00	10	396	2.500	10	396	2.500	10	396	5.000
10:00 - 11:00	10	396	0.707	10	396	0.581	10	396	1.288
11:00 - 12:00	10	396	0.884	10	396	0.505	10	396	1.389
12:00 - 13:00	10	396	1.742	10	396	2.424	10	396	4.166
13:00 - 14:00	10	396	1.061	10	396	1.439	10	396	2.500
14:00 - 15:00	10	396	0.960	10	396	0.783	10	396	1.743
15:00 - 16:00	10	396	0.884	10	396	1.237	10	396	2.121
16:00 - 17:00	10	396	1.717	10	396	1.717	10	396	3.434
17:00 - 18:00	10	396	3.131	10	396	3.965	10	396	7.096
18:00 - 19:00	9	423	0.131	9	423	0.945	9	423	1.076
19:00 - 20:00									
20:00 - 21:00									
21:00 - 22:00									
22:00 - 23:00									
23:00 - 24:00									
Total Rates:			19.651			19.808			39.459

This section displays the trip rate results based on the selected set of surveys and the selected count type (shown just above the table). It is split by three main columns, representing arrivals trips, departures trips, and total trips (arrivals plus departures). Within each of these main columns are three sub-columns. These display the number of survey days where count data is included (per time period), the average value of the selected trip rate calculation parameter (per time period), and the trip rate result (per time period). Total trip rates (the sum of the column) are also displayed at the foot of the table.

To obtain a trip rate, the average (mean) trip rate parameter value (TRP) is first calculated for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. The average (mean) number of arrivals, departures or totals (whichever applies) is also calculated (COUNT) for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. Then, the average count is divided by the average trip rate parameter value, and multiplied by the stated calculation factor (shown just above the table and abbreviated here as FACT). So, the method is: COUNT/TRP*FACT. Trip rates are then rounded to 3 decimal places.

The survey data, graphs and all associated supporting information, contained within the TRICS Database are published by TRICS Consortium Limited ("the Company") and the Company claims copyright and database rights in this published work. The Company authorises those who possess a current TRICS licence to access the TRICS Database and copy the data contained within the TRICS Database for the licence holders' use only. Any resulting copy must retain all copyrights and other proprietary notices, and any disclaimer contained thereon.

The Company accepts no responsibility for loss which may arise from reliance on data contained in the TRICS Database. [No warranty of any kind, express or implied, is made as to the data contained in the TRICS Database.]

Parameter summary

Trip rate parameter range selected:	150 - 750 (units: sqm)
Survey date date range:	01/01/13 - 27/09/19
Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday):	10
Number of Saturdays:	0
Number of Sundays:	0
Surveys automatically removed from selection:	0
Surveys manually removed from selection:	0

This section displays a quick summary of some of the data filtering selections made by the TRICS® user. The trip rate calculation parameter range of all selected surveys is displayed first, followed by the range of minimum and maximum survey dates selected by the user. Then, the total number of selected weekdays and weekend days in the selected set of surveys are show. Finally, the number of survey days that have been manually removed from the selected set outside of the standard filtering procedure are displayed.

TRICS 7.8.1 020621 B20.17 Database right of TRICS Consortium L Retail Discount Food Store

MHL & Associates Ltd Douglas Road Cork

TRIP RATE CALCULATION SELECTION PARAMETERS:

Land Use	:	01 - RETAIL
Category	:	C - DISCOUNT FOOD STORES
TOTAL VE	Н	ICLES

Selected regions and areas: CONNAUGHT 12 LEITRIM LT 13 MUNSTER KE KERRY LEINSTER 14 LOUTH LU WICKLOW WC 1 days GREATER DUBLIN 15 DL DUBLIN 1 days ULSTER (REPUBLIC OF IRELAND) 16 DONEGAL DN MG MONAGHAN 1 days 17 ULSTER (NORTHERN I RELAND) AN ANTRIM TΥ TYRONE

This section displays the number of survey days per TRICS® sub-region in the selected set

Primary Filtering selection:

This data displays the chosen trip rate parameter and its selected range. Only sites that fall within the parameter range are included in the trip rate calculation.

Parameter:	Gross floor area
Actual Range:	1325 to 2163 (units: sqm)
Range Selected by User:	1266 to 2163 (units: sqm)
Parking Spaces Range:	All Surveys Included

Public Transport Provision: Selection by

01/01/13 to 07/11/20 Date Range:

This data displays the range of survey dates selected. Only surveys that were conducted within this date range are included in the trip rate calculation.

Selected survey days:	
Wednesday	4 days
Thursday	2 days
Saturday	2 days
Sunday	1 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys by day of the week.

<u>Selected survey types:</u>	
Manual count	9 days
Directional ATC Count	0 days

This data displays the number of manual classified surveys and the number of unclassified ATC surveys, the total adding up to the overall number of surveys in the selected set. Manual surveys are undertaken using staff, whilst ATC surveys are undertaking using machines.

Selected Locations:	
Town Centre	1
Edge of Town Centre	2
Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)	2
Edge of Town	3
Neighbourhood Centre (PPS6 Local Centre)	1

This data displays the number of surveys per main location category within the selected set. The main location categories consist of Free Standing, Edge of Town, Suburban Area, Neighbourhood Centre, Edge of Town Centre, Town Centre and Not Known.

Selected Location Sub Categories:	
Industrial Zone	2
Development Zone	1
Residential Zone	1
No Sub Category	5

This data displays the number of surveys per location sub-category within the selected set. The location sub-categories consist of Commercial Zone, Industrial Zone, Development Zone, Residential Zone, Retail Zone, Built-Up Zone, Village, Out of Town, High Street and No Sub Category.

imited,	2021.	All	rights	reserved

Monday 14/06/21

Page 1 Licence No: 761701

Calculation Reference: AUDIT-761701-210614-0652

1 days

1 days

1 days

1 days

1 days

1 days

Include all surveys

7.8.1 020621 B20.17 Database right of TR Discount Food Store	ICS Consortium Lin	nited, 2021. All rights reserved	Monday 14/06/21 Page 2	TRICS 7.8.	1 020621 B20.17	Database right of TRICS (Consortium
Associates Ltd Douglas Road Cork			Licence No: 761701	MHL & Assoc	ciates Ltd Dougla	as Road Cork	
Secondary Filtering selection:				LIST	T OF SITES relevan	t to selection parameters	
,						, ,	
<u>Use Class:</u>				1	AN-01-C-02	LIDL	
E(a)	9 days				BELFAST ROAD		
					CARRICKFERGUS	5	
This data displays the number of surveys per has been used for this purpose, which can be	Dse Class classifica found within the Li	ation within the selected set. The Use ibrary module of TRICS®.	e Classes Order 2005		Edge of Town		
					Development Zo	ne	4005
Population within 500m Range:					Total Gross floor	area:	1325 sqr
All Surveys Included				0	Survey d	ate: WEDNESDAY	12/10/1
<u>Population within 1 mile:</u>	1 days			2			
1,000 01 Less	1 uays					OAD	
5 001 to 10 000	2 days						
10 001 to 15 000	2 days				Neighbourbood (Centre (PPS6 Local Centre)	
15,001 to 20,000	2 days 1 days				Residential Zone		
13,001 10 20,000	r udys				Total Gross floor	area.	2163 sau
This data displays the number of selected sur	rvevs within stated	1-mile radii of population			Survey d	ate WEDNESDAY	20/06/1
	veys minin stated			3	DN-01-C-01	ALDI	20/00/ /
Population within 5 miles:				0	MCCARTERS ROA	AD	
5.001 to 25.000	3 davs				BUNCRANA		
25,001 to 50,000	4 davs						
75,001 to 100,000	2 days				Edge of Town Ce	entre	
	5				No Sub Category	1	
This data displays the number of selected sur	rveys within stated	5-mile radii of population.			Total Gross floor	area:	1480 sqr
, ,	<u>,</u>				Survey de	ate: WEDNESDAY	29/05/1
Car ownership within 5 miles:				4	KE-01-C-01	ALDI	
0.6 to 1.0	2 days				DEERPARK ROAD)	
1.1 to 1.5	3 days				KILLARNEY		
1.6 to 2.0	4 days						
		- · · ·			Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)	
This data displays the number of selected sur	rveys within stated i	ranges of average cars owned per re	psidential dwelling,		No Sub Category	/	
within a radius of 5-miles of selected survey s	SITES.				Total Gross floor	area:	1354 sqr
				F	Survey a	ate: THURSDAY	1//10/1
				5		LIDL	
Potrol filling station							
Included in the survey count	0 davs				CARRIER-ON-SI	ANNON	
Excluded from count or no filling station	0 days				Edge of Town		
Excluded from count of no filling station	7 uays				No Sub Category	1	
This data displays the number of surveys with	hin the selected set	that include netrol filling station act	ivity and the		Total Gross floor	area:	1755 sar
number of surveys that do not.			wity, and the		Survey d	ate: SINDAY	19/04/1
				6	LU-01-C-01	ALDI	
Travel Plan:					NEWRY ROAD		
No	9 days				DUNDALK		
	2						
This data displays the number of surveys with	hin the selected set	that were undertaken at sites with	Travel Plans in place,		Edge of Town		
and the number of surveys that were undertain	aken at sites withou	it Travel Plans.	-		Industrial Zone		
-					Total Gross floor	area:	1746 sqr
<u>PTAL Rating:</u>					Survey d	ate: SATURDAY	07/11/2
No PTAL Present	9 days			7	MG-01-C-01	LIDL	
					NORTH ROAD		
This data displays the number of selected sur	rveys with PTAL Rai	tings.			MONAGHAN		
Covid-19 Restrictions	Yes	At least one survey within the select	cted data set		Edge of Town Ce	entre	
		was undertaken at a time of Covid-	19 restrictions		Industrial Zone		
					Total Gross floor	area:	1680 sqr
					Survey de	ate: WEDNESDAY	16/11/1
				8	TY-01-C-02		
					MOLESWORTH S	IREET	
					COOKSTOWN		
					STATION SQUAR	KE RET. PK	
					Town Centre		
					No Sub Category	/	1400
					Total Gross floor	area:	1400 sqi

1400 sqm 21/03/19

Survey date: THURSDAY

1480 sqm *29/05/19*

2163 sqm *20/06/18*

1354 sqm *17/10/19*

1755 sqm *19/04/15*

1746 sqm *07/11/20*

1680 sqm

16/11/16

Limited, 2021. All rights reserved	Monday 14/06/21
	Page 3
	Licence No: 761701

ANTRIM

1325 sqm *12/10/16*

Survey Type: MANUAL DUBLIN

Survey Type: MANUAL DONEGAL

Survey Type: MANUAL KERRY

Survey Type: MANUAL LEITRIM

Survey Type: MANUAL LOUTH

Survey Type: MANUAL MONAGHAN

Survey Type: MANUAL TYRONE

Survey Type: MANUAL

TRICS 7.8.1 020621 B20.17	Database right of TRICS Consortium Limited, 2021. All rights reserved	Monday 14/06/21
Retail Discount Food Store		Page 4
MHL & Associates Ltd Dougla	as Road Cork	Licence No: 761701
LIST OF SITES relevant	t to selection parameters (Cont.)	

9 WC-01-C-01 ALDI WICKLOW **PINEWOOD CLOSE** BRAY Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre) No Sub Category Total Gross floor area: 1672 sqm Survey date: SATURDAY 05/10/19 Survey Type: MANUAL

This section provides a list of all survey sites and days in the selected set. For each individual survey site, it displays a unique site reference code and site address, the selected trip rate calculation parameter and its value, the day of the week and date of each survey, and whether the survey was a manual classified count or an ATC count.

TRICS 7.8.1 020621 B20.17	Database right of TRICS Consortium Limited, 2021. All rights reserved
Retail Discount Food Store	

MHL & Associates Ltd Douglas Road Cork

TRIP RATE for Land Use 01 - RETAIL/C - DISCOUNT FOOD STORES TOTAL VEHICLES

Calculation factor: 100 sqm BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period

	ARRIVALS			DEPARTURES			TOTALS		
	No.	Ave.	Trip	No.	Ave.	Trip	No.	Ave.	Trip
Time Range	Days	GFA	Rate	Days	GFA	Rate	Days	GFA	Rate
00:00 - 01:00									
01:00 - 02:00									
02:00 - 03:00									
03:00 - 04:00									
04:00 - 05:00									
05:00 - 06:00									
06:00 - 07:00									
07:00 - 08:00	4	1642	0.579	4	1642	0.183	4	1642	0.762
08:00 - 09:00	9	1619	1.660	9	1619	0.919	9	1619	2.579
09:00 - 10:00	9	1619	4.137	9	1619	2.998	9	1619	7.135
10:00 - 11:00	9	1619	4.707	9	1619	3.760	9	1619	8.467
11:00 - 12:00	9	1619	5.949	9	1619	5.619	9	1619	11.568
12:00 - 13:00	9	1619	6.189	9	1619	6.257	9	1619	12.446
13:00 - 14:00	9	1619	6.244	9	1619	6.340	9	1619	12.584
14:00 - 15:00	9	1619	6.003	9	1619	6.086	9	1619	12.089
15:00 - 16:00	9	1619	6.690	9	1619	6.765	9	1619	13.455
16:00 - 17:00	9	1619	6.765	9	1619	7.376	9	1619	14.141
17:00 - 18:00	9	1619	5.997	9	1619	6.449	9	1619	12.446
18:00 - 19:00	9	1619	4.583	9	1619	5.276	9	1619	9.859
19:00 - 20:00	9	1619	3.568	9	1619	3.863	9	1619	7.431
20:00 - 21:00	9	1619	2.346	9	1619	2.991	9	1619	5.337
21:00 - 22:00	9	1619	0.720	9	1619	1.002	9	1619	1.722
22:00 - 23:00	2	1417	0.071	2	1417	0.353	2	1417	0.424
23:00 - 24:00									
Total Rates:			66.208			66.237			132.445

This section displays the trip rate results based on the selected set of surveys and the selected count type (shown just above the table). It is split by three main columns, representing arrivals trips, departures trips, and total trips (arrivals plus departures). Within each of these main columns are three sub-columns. These display the number of survey days where count data is included (per time period), the average value of the selected trip rate calculation parameter (per time period), and the trip rate result (per time period). Total trip rates (the sum of the column) are also displayed at the foot of the table.

To obtain a trip rate, the average (mean) trip rate parameter value (TRP) is first calculated for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. The average (mean) number of arrivals, departures or totals (whichever applies) is also calculated (COUNT) for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. Then, the average count is divided by the average trip rate parameter value, and multiplied by the stated calculation factor (shown just above the table and abbreviated here as FACT). So, the method is: COUNT/TRP*FACT. Trip rates are then rounded to 3 decimal places.

The survey data, graphs and all associated supporting information, contained within the TRICS Database are published by TRICS Consortium Limited ("the Company") and the Company claims copyright and database rights in this published work. The Company authorises those who possess a current TRICS licence to access the TRICS Database and copy the data contained within the TRICS Database for the licence holders' use only. Any resulting copy must retain all copyrights and other proprietary notices, and any disclaimer contained thereon.

The Company accepts no responsibility for loss which may arise from reliance on data contained in the TRICS Database. [No warranty of any kind, express or implied, is made as to the data contained in the TRICS Database.]

Parameter summary

Trip rate parameter range selected:	1325 - 21
Survey date date range:	01/01/13
Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday):	6
Number of Saturdays:	2
Number of Sundays:	1
Surveys automatically removed from selection:	0
Surveys manually removed from selection:	0

This section displays a quick summary of some of the data filtering selections made by the TRICS® user. The trip rate calculation parameter range of all selected surveys is displayed first, followed by the range of minimum and maximum survey dates selected by the user. Then, the total number of selected weekdays and weekend days in the selected set of surveys are show. Finally, the number of survey days that have been manually removed from the selected set outside of the standard filtering procedure are displayed.

63 (units: sqm) - 07/11/20